



Joint Advisory Committee Meeting Comments – July 2014  
**Cedar Hills Park Redevelopment Project**

**BACKGROUND**

---

A joint meeting of the district's Parks, Sports and Recreation Advisory Committees was held on July 8, 2014 to review two new design options (Option 1 and 2, dated 7-7-2014) for the redevelopment of Cedar Hills Park. The following is a summary of the written comments and questions received via email in the days following the meeting.

**PARKS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

---

*Preferred Option:*            **Option 2**

Playgrounds

- Locate closer together so multiple aged children can be watched at the same time.

Tennis Courts

- Loss of lighted tennis courts would be unfortunate since few lighted courts exists outside of the tennis center.
- Consider addition of a couple of pickle ball courts if tennis courts are removed.

Community Gardens

- Relocate to a different park.

Oak Trees

- Preserve existing oak trees.

General Layout

- Less grid-like configuration of Option 2 was well received.

**SPORTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

---

*Preferred Option:*            **Option 2**

Multipurpose Athletic Field

- Locate the two primary baseball field overlays in the southwest and northeast corners of the multipurpose athletic field.

Sand Volleyball Court

- Relocate as far away as possible from the splash pad.

**RECREATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

---

*Preferred Option:*            **Option 2**

Community Garden

- 12x12 plot is awfully small. You can't really grow much. 15x15 would be better. The ones at Kennedy Gardens are 20x20 which is a good size for serious gardeners.

- Option 1 preferred because:
  - All of the plots are together. That's nicer than having the plots divided into two areas because community gardeners tend to form a small community, share knowledge and watering chores, and generally help each other out. That happens better when all the plots are together.
  - Every garden plot has direct access since they're all in a row. There's less space needed for walkways for people to access interior plots.
  - Looks like it provides better sunlight. The retaining wall and trees on the eastern group of plots in Option 2 may block morning sun. *(Note: Comment appears to refer to the northeast group of plots. Retaining wall and trees N/NE of this proposed garden location are unlikely to impede morning sun.)*
- Modify Option 2 to improve the community garden element.