# Board of Directors Regular Meeting <br> March 5, 2012 <br> 6:30 p.m. Executive Session; 7:00 p.m. Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA

| 6:30 PM | 1. Executive Session* |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. Land |  |
| 7:00 PM | 2. Call Regular Meeting to Order |
| 7:05 PM | 3. Action Resulting from Executive Session |
| 7:10 PM | 4. Audience Time** |
| 7:15 PM | 5. Board Time |
| 7:20 PM | 6. Consent Agenda*** |
|  |  |
|  | A. Approve: Minutes of February 6, 2012 Regular Board Meeting |
|  | B. Approve: Monthly Bills |
|  | C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement |

*Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Public Comment: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3-minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3 -minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed. ***Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-645-6433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: Information Regarding the March 5, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda Item \#6 - Consent Agenda
Attached please find Consent Agenda items \#6A-F for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#6A-F as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of February 6, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Parks Advisory Committee Members
E. Award: Rock Creek / North Bethany Trails Construction Contract
F. Approve: Resolution Authorizing Local Government Grant Program Application

## Agenda Item \#7 - Unfinished Business

A. Bond Program

Attached please find a memo from Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, providing an update regarding recent activities centered around the Bond Program. Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## B. Advisory Committee Structure

Attached please find a memo from Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services, providing an update regarding the Park District's Advisory Committee structure and how the Committees have transitioned since changes were made in 2008. Jim will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## C. Public Awareness Program

Attached please a memo from Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach, providing an update regarding the Park District's efforts to increase public awareness of the District, its facilities and programs. Bob, along with Janna Lopez of MediaWrite, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## D. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the March Regular Board meeting.

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board - System Development Charge Report
- Monthly Capital Report
- Newspaper Articles
- Monthly Bond Capital Report


## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, on Monday, February 6, 2012. Executive Session 6:00 p.m.; Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.

Present:

Bob Scott
Larry Pelatt
Joseph Blowers
William Kanable
John Griffiths
Doug Menke

President/Director
Secretary/Director
Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director
Director
Director
General Manager

Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Legal (B) Land
President, Bob Scott, called Executive Session to order for the following purposes:

- To consult with counsel concerning the legal rights and duties of a public body with regard to current litigation or litigation likely to be filed, and
- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive Session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2), which allows the Board to meet in Executive Session to discuss the aforementioned issues.

President, Bob Scott, noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend Executive Session. All other members of the audience were asked to leave the room. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during Executive Session. No final action or final decision may be made in Executive Session. At the end of Executive Session, the Board will return to open session and welcome the audience back into the room.

## Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order

President, Bob Scott, called the Regular Meeting to order at 7:10 p.m.

## Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session

There was no action resulting from Executive Session.

## Agenda Item \#4 - Parks Advisory Committee

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations, introduced Miles Glowacki, Chair of the Parks Advisory Committee, and Greg Cody, Parks Advisory Committee member, to make a presentation to the Board of Directors regarding the activities of the Committee during the past year as well as their goals for the coming year.

Miles provided an overview of the Parks Advisory Committee's past and current focuses via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record, and which included the following topics:

- Mission Statement \& Committee Membership
- Past, Current \& Continual Focus Areas
o Review of park development design plans
o Attendance of Board and Budget meetings, as well as park dedications
o Purchased GIS data collection equipment with Challenge Grant funds
o Support Park Patrol security efforts
o Analysis/review of smoking on District property
- Currently completing a comprehensive analysis of comparable communities' smoking policies
- Will formulate a recommendation for consideration by the Board
o Support efforts for art in parks
- Cooperative relationship with the Beaverton Arts Commission
- Supports $1 \%$ budget for art in new park bond projects
- Considering practicality of functional artwork

Miles and Greg offered to answer any questions the Board may have.
$\checkmark$ Hearing none, President, Bob Scott, thanked Miles and Greg on behalf of the Board of Directors for the informative presentation.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Resolution Amending District Compiled Policies Chapter Five to include Sustainable Purchasing

A. Open Hearing

President, Bob Scott, opened the public hearing.

## B. Staff Report

Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided a detailed overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet requesting that the Board, acting as the Local Contact Review Board, amend the District Public Contract Rules contained in Chapter 5 of the District Compiled Policies to include requirements that establish a Sustainable
Purchasing Policy. Keith noted that staff presented a draft of the proposed policy for review and discussion at the January 9, 2012 Regular Board meeting, as well as operational procedures that will continue to be refined until ready for implementation on July 1, 2012. The proposed Sustainable Purchasing Policy is a long-standing District goal and continues the District's commitment to sustainable practices as specified in Comprehensive Plan goals and objectives. The basic proposed requirements for the policy remain unchanged from those presented at the January Regular Board meeting:

- All product purchases will be made using appropriate sustainable product standards.
- When appropriate product standards do not exist, the policy requires staff to maintain a list of acceptable products based on sustainable attributes.
- Larger purchases must be made using the sustainable costing model.
- Service contracts will include provisions promoting sustainable operations and request for proposals will include criteria related to sustainable activities.
Keith noted that there are exemptions and safeguards built into the policy to insure that it does not significantly negatively impact operations and costs. Upon completion of this evening's public hearing, staff requests that the Board approve Resolution 2012-03 amending the District Compiled Polices Chapter 5 to include sustainable purchasing requirements.


## C. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

## D. Board Discussion

There was no Board discussion.

## E. Close Hearing

President, Bob Scott, closed the public hearing.

## F. Board Action

Joe Blowers moved the Board of Directors, acting as the Local Contract Review Board, approve Resolution 2012-03 amending the District Compiled Polices Chapter 5 to include sustainable purchasing requirements. Bill Kanable seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Larry Pelatt Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Bill Kanable Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
Bob Scott Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#6 - Audience Time

Paul Blackmore, 17813 SW Washington Drive, Aloha, is before the Board of Directors this evening representing Aloha United Soccer Club (AUSC). Paul stated that there has been some confusion as to the status of AUSC in regard to the District in that AUSC is both a recreational and competitive club; however, the District has no authority over AUSC as to what type of soccer is offered to their membership. He stated that what the District offers to one club must be offered to all and that Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports, and Bill Kanable, current Board member and President of Westside Warriors and Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League (THJSL), have told AUSC that the competitive side of their club is not recognized by the District. He stated that the District has no authority to delegate its responsibilities to allocate fields to another entity, such as THJSL, and that since the District is the provider of fields to all taxpayers of the community, the District should be responsible for the allocation of those fields. He questions delegating such authority to someone who is the president of a club within a league, as well as the president of the league itself, and serves on the Board of Directors, as well as being the head of a fields committee. The District's stance that this person or organization is better at assigning fields than the District itself is without merit and AUSC asks that this practice be reviewed immediately. He stated that the method by which the District is implementing field assignments to the local soccer clubs is not legal and will be challenged and that the District has no authority to commit long-term legal contracts for public land paid for by taxpayers to selected clubs while excluding others. In conclusion, AUSC is a legal entity that has been playing in the community since 1974 and would like field allocations immediately on an equal opportunity basis dependent on club size and membership.

Kevin Fraczek, 11063 NW Montreux Lane, Portland, is before the Board of Directors this evening representing Milltown United Soccer Club (MUSC). Kevin stated that MUSC requests complete transparency in the distribution and allocation of fields to all soccer clubs and that a committee is formed to handle such allocations instead of an individual. He stated that MUSC has tried to work with Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports, to understand the field allocation process, but were told that it is too complex. They would like to be offered the opportunity to try and understand the process as it has become a critical issue. MUSC has grown to approximately 75 teams and 1,500 participants, but have received the same amount of fields over the past three years. In conclusion, MUSC requests transparency in the field allocation process and establishment of a committee, rather than an individual, responsible for assigning fields to all of the clubs.

Lara Bourn, 3880 SW 188 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Aloha, is before the Board of Directors this evening as a parent of a participant in Aloha United Soccer Club (AUSC). Lara stated that although she pays
taxes to the District, she has been repeatedly told that AUSC does not have adequate access to fields, yet she notices that the fields at the HMT Recreation Complex are continually in use by other clubs. She questions why there is not a fair and balanced way to allocate fields by a committee instead of an individual person. She also asks why no one is questioning the current situation of an individual being in charge of allocating fields who is also the president of a soccer club, and that it is this individual's club's teams on the fields. In conclusion, there needs to be a more fair and balanced way of allocating fields.

Eric Ufer, 8450 NW Ash Street, Portland, is before the Board of Directors this evening representing Milltown United Soccer Club (MUSC). Eric stated that as the former President of MUSC, it has been wonderful for him to witness the expansion and growth of the club. He believes that there is room for a middle ground between classic and recreational soccer, which MUSC and others are working to create. He described how three proposed new fields that MUSC was excited about seeing developed, including those that were planned for the Teufel Property and William Walker Elementary School, are no longer being pursued. He would like to hear why those fields are no longer being considered and whether there are any plans for those fields in the future. In addition, he asks how the District determines where to build new fields, noting that the new field being built in partnership with The Timbers is in the Westside Warriors/Westside Metros area and has heard that there is an additional field being considered for that area as well. MUSC asks for equality and a level playing field. They have gone from having one team to a field, to two teams to a field, to four teams to a field, because of the growth and expansion of their club. In conclusion, the goal of MUSC is to get kids playing soccer and they would like to receive some recognition from the District for helping it with its mission statement.

President, Bob Scott, requested an overview from staff of the situation being described, noting that the Board does not directly set the field usage.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, replied that specific to MUSC, staff recently met with several of their board members and some progress was made, although there is still much work to be done. The District is in the midst of an interesting process in that there are programmatic issues, and programmatically the affiliates run their own shows. Each of the groups represented this evening are affiliates with the District and are part of THJSL. Tomorrow evening, THJSL has a board meeting and he encourages that the communications heard this evening be repeated at that time. The District has a role to play within the process and Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports, will be in attendance speaking about many of these issues. There is no question that the process of field allocation needs to be as transparent as possible, recognizing that in many cases, and with soccer in particular, the fields are turned over to the league and the league makes the distribution. District staff has had many discussions since the meeting with MUSC last week regarding the fact that the District does need to communicate as best as possible and in as much detail as possible with regard to the field allocation process, because it is complex and multi-facetted. The more the public knows about it, the better the District can be in providing the services it provides on the fields it maintains. Hopefully, there will be better clarity after tomorrow evening's meeting and via any follow up meetings that are desired. The District is willing to work with the league in moving through the process.

Bill Kanable stated that the public testimony this evening implicates that there is some kind of conspiracy taking place. He explained that in the fall, the league works with all of the soccer clubs and controls the field allocations. In the spring, working with the District over the last ten years, the league has been able to get access to fields. As the sponsor of the spring program, he pays for the rental of those fields and distributes them as well. The fields come to the league
based on what the District gives them, not because he gets better fields based on when particular clubs ask for them. It is regretful that the fields are in a certain location based on historical use. Baseball and softball take the majority of fields in the spring, so everything that the league normally gets in the fall is usually consumed by other groups and he cannot control that. He gives the fields out willingly to all of the clubs that ask for it, but he also has to pay the bill, which he has been doing for the last three years. He is concerned about the assumptions being made regarding what he does personally and is disappointed that it has come to this. He still wants to deliver the service to the community of soccer players, which he has done for 12 years. He will work to try and improve the spring process, but he has nothing to do with field allocations in the fall; the league and all of its members take responsibility for that. There is a lot of education that needs to happen to address the assumptions being made based on outside views. To conclude that a certain individual is trying to take advantage is wrong. He is gravely concerned about that assumption as he has worked for no other reason than to try and better the community and to hear such accusations is rather upsetting.

John Griffiths commented that normally the Board of Directors has some awareness of a situation prior to such a public display, so he is somewhat surprised by the situation. However, he does want to clarify that the location of the new maintenance facility was chosen primarily due to maintenance purposes and a side benefit of that particular property was that it also had appreciable extra space that could be turned into a field. The location was not chosen because the District was attempting to benefit a particular soccer club.

Joe Blowers requested an executive summary on this topic, including an overview of the meeting occurring tomorrow evening, in order to help educate the Board.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, confirmed that this would be provided.
President, Bob Scott, commented to the audience that he appreciates the testimony this evening and agrees that there is work to be done. He thanked the attendees for the passion they have for the soccer community.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Board Time

Larry Pelatt referenced the testimony received during audience time, noting that as a former soccer parent and referee, he understands the passion that is involved; however, the thought process that one of the District's Board members would engage in some sort of collusion is a non-starter to him. He knows Bill personally and professionally and can guarantee that there is no collusion. Whether the process needs to be reviewed is a possibility, and the executive summary will help the Board understand that.

John Griffiths noted that the public testimony received this evening appears to be an allocation of resources issue that needs to be further addressed via the meeting taking place tomorrow evening followed by an update to the Board.

[^0]| John Griffiths | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bill Kanable | Yes |
| Joe Blowers | Yes |
| Larry Pelatt | Yes |
| Bob Scott | Yes |
| The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. |  |

## Agenda Item \#9 - Unfinished Business

## A. Community Garden Program

Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities, provided a detailed overview of the District's Community Garden Program via a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which was entered into the record. The District constructed its first community garden in 1998 and currently has eight gardens available, with most operating at capacity. Additional community garden sites are being explored via bond project sites and community partnerships. Lisa offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Bill Kanable referenced the map showing the various locations of gardens throughout the District and asked whether the District is actively seeking potential garden locations for areas that do not currently have that type of amenity.
$\checkmark$ Lisa confirmed this, noting that the bond project sites are a natural fit for additional community gardens. There are basic requirements in order to consider a site for a potential community garden, including whether there is irrigation available. The northeast quadrant of the District has the least number of community gardens and staff is actively exploring potential sites for this area.

Larry Pelatt commented that U.S. Magistrate Judge Donald Ashmanskas, who passed away last summer, was a passionate gardener at the Cedar Hills Park community garden. Larry asked whether consideration could be given to naming a garden or similar amenity in his honor.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, replied that once the Cedar Hills Park bond project starts progressing, staff would suggest that the Board give consideration to naming the community garden there after Judge Ashmanskas.
Larry and Joe Blowers expressed support for this idea.

## B. General Manager's Report

Doug Menke, General Manager, provided a detailed overview of the General Manager's Report included within the Board of Directors information packet, which included the following topics:

- Fanno Creek Trail Tree Maintenance Project
o Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management, provided an update regarding a neighborhood meeting held on February 2, 2012, for the Fanno Creek Trail Tree Maintenance Project, which was discussed at the January Regular Board meeting. Bruce noted that about 30 people attended the meeting, during which the proposed process was explained of forming a committee, getting input from the community, and then reporting back to the community to hopefully receive a general consensus on the project, after which staff will report back to the Board in late spring. Five citizens have stepped up to serve on the committee, which will begin work in mid-February.
- Greenhouse Gas Inventory Update
o Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided an update regarding the District's Greenhouse Gas Inventory, noting that the inventory has been updated for Scopes 1 and 2. For Scope 1, fleet generated carbon dioxide equivalents $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}\right)$ decreased by 23 metric tons, natural gas usage generated $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ also decreased by 42 metric tons, and refrigerant use generated $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ increased by

11 metric tons. For Scope 2, electricity use generated $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ decreased by 80 metric tons.

- Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Report
o Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach, noted that the second annual Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Report is nearing its distribution date and a copy of the report is at the Board's places this evening.
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

Doug offered to answer any questions the Board may have regarding the General Manager's Report.
$\checkmark$ Hearing none, President, Bob Scott, requested the staff report for the next agenda item.

## Agenda Item \#10 - New Business

## A. Tualatin Valley Water District Request for Temporary Construction and Permanent Easements for a Water Line along a Segment of the Fanno Creek Trail

Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, provided a detailed overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet regarding a request received from Tualatin Valley Water District (TVWD) to acquire permanent and temporary construction easements to place a new 10" diameter, high-density polyethylene waterline under the Fanno Creek Trail east of SW $92^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. The permanent easement would run between SW $90^{\text {th }}$ and SW $92^{\text {nd }}$, while the temporary easement would be for a period of about two and a half months and would run between SW $92^{\text {nd }}$ and approximately the point of access to the trail at Vista Brook Park. The waterline being installed is required on an emergency basis due to a Washington County project to replace the bridge over Fanno Creek at SW Scholls Ferry Road, which will require removal of a critical section of waterline, severely reducing TVWD's ability to provide adequate fire flow to residents and businesses served north and west of the trail corridor.

Hal provided a detailed overview of the proposed project and easements via a PowerPoint presentation of the site plan included within the Board of Directors information packet, noting that it is unlikely that the drilling required for the project would have a significant effect on the root system of any trees along the trail corridor and in most cases, foot traffic along the trail would be able to be maintained during the installation. Hal noted that TVWD proposes $\$ 1,000$ for compensation for the permanent and temporary easements and further proposes that these funds be used by the District for restoration of vegetation along the trail corridor. Given past cooperation by TVWD with District initiatives, such as the fields placed under a lease agreement on TVWD's property at the southeast corner of $170^{\text {th }}$ and Merlo Drive, staff believes the offered level of compensation is appropriate.

Hal noted that District legal counsel has reviewed the proposed easement agreement and had some suggested changes, copies of which are at the Board's places this evening and have been entered into the record. In addition, Mark Knudsen of TVWD is in attendance in order to answer any particular questions the Board may have about the project. Hal noted that the action requested this evening is Board approval of TVWD's request for the permanent and temporary construction easements as described and authorization for the General Manager or his designee to execute documents for the dedication/granting of the easements. Hal offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

President, Bob Scott, asked who would be responsible for repairs should any damage occur to the trail or around the trail because of the project.
$\checkmark \mathrm{Hal}$ replied that it would be the responsibility of TVWD.
John Griffiths asked how much longer the athletic fields on TVWD property would be allowed to remain.
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$\checkmark$ Mark replied that at this point, it is indefinite as TVWD does not have any plans for that particular property.

Bob commented that he is pleased that the proposed technique should not disturb the vegetation along the trail.

Larry Pelatt asked whether a seismic review was conducted of the area.
$\checkmark$ Mark confirmed this, noting that they are confident that the hole will be able to remain intact during the drill operation. They were concerned that they may find some debris left over from the old railroad that ran in the area, but did not find anything initially.

Joe Blowers asked for additional information regarding where the waterline will connect to.
$\checkmark$ Mark described the pathway of the waterline, noting that it is essentially completing a loop between SW $90^{\text {th }}$ and $92^{\text {nd }}$.

Bill Kanable moved the Board of Directors approve TVWD's request for the permanent and temporary construction easements as described and authorize the General Manager, or his designee, to execute the documents for the dedication/granting of the easements. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
John Griffiths Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bill Kanable Yes
Bob Scott Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.
Agenda Item \#11-Adjourn
There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

| Check N |
| :--- |
| 259480 |
| 259766 |
|  |
| 259794 |
|  |
|  |
| 259402 |
| 259572 |
| 259572 |
| 259579 |
| 259582 |
| 259618 |
| 259628 |
| 259651 |
| 259670 |
| 259692 |
| 259693 |
| 259694 |


| 259422 | $01 / 05 / 2012$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 259519 | $01 / 10 / 2012$ |
| 259621 | $01 / 13 / 2012$ |

259333
259405
259849

259433
259445
259518
259577
259596
259636
259671
259689
259690
259848

259565

259415
259522
259571
259601
259694

259473
259474
259478
259495
259516
259566
259607
259712

| Check Date |
| :--- |
| $01 / 10 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 25 / 2012$ |

01/25/2012

01/05/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/19/2012
01/24/2012
01/25/2012
01/25/2012
01/25/2012
$01 / 05 / 2012$
$01 / 10 / 2012$
$01 / 13 / 2012$

01/05/2012
01/05/2012
01/31/2012

01/05/2012
01/05/2012
01/10/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/24/2012
01/25/2012
01/25/2012
01/31/2012

01/13/2012

01/11/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/25/2012

01/10/2012
01/10/2012
01/10/2012
01/10/2012
01/10/2012
01/13/2012
01/13/2012
01/25/2012
Vendor Name
Community Newspapers, Inc.
Oregonian Publishing Company
Advertising
Yorke \& Curtis Inc
Capital Outlay - ADA Projects
Carlson Testing, Inc.
BBL Architects
BBL Architects
Cedar Mill Construction Co.
Contech Services, Inc.
Pinnell Busch, Inc
Skyward Construction
Sound Security, Inc.
Christenson Electric Inc.
Peterson Structural Engineers, Inc.
Seabold Construction Co., Inc.
Walker Macy
Capital Outlay - Bond - Facility Expansion \& Improvements

First Real Estate Consulting, Inc. Zell \& Associates
R.P. Herman \& Associates, LLC

Capital Outlay - Bond - Land Acquisition

Native Ecosystems NW, LLC
Clean Water Services
Scholls Valley Native Nursery
Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects
GreenWorks, PC
Lawyers Title of Oregon LLC
Washington County Land Use \& Transportation
Caswell/Hertel Surveyors, Inc.
J.D. Walsh \& Associates, PS

Washington County
Washington County DLUT
Architectural Cost Consultants
City of Beaverton
GreenWorks, PC
Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Neighborhood Parks
3J Consulting, Inc.
Capital Outlay - Bond - Replacements \& Improvements

David Evans \& Associates, Inc.
OR Dept of State Lands
ARC
MacKay \& Sposito, Inc.
Walker Macy
Capital Outlay - Bond - Trails/Linear Parks
AAA Sound Company
Apollo Drain \& R
Building Material Specialties, Inc
Koeber's, Inc.
Toughstuff Industrial Floors
AAM, Inc.
McKinstry Essention, Inc.
Brandsen Hardwood Floors, Inc.

|  | Check Amount <br>  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | $1,103.60$ |
| $1,578.96$ |  |
| $\$$ | $2,682.56$ |


|  | $18,532.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 3 2 . 0 0}$ |
|  | $1,307.50$ |
|  | $8,274.77$ |
|  | $13,877.08$ |
|  | $70,156.55$ |
|  | $22,000.00$ |
|  | $7,314.82$ |
|  | $228,476.90$ |
|  | $2,529.10$ |
|  | $3,849.24$ |
|  | $13,802.00$ |
|  | $15,480.42$ |
|  | $3,405.56$ |
| $\$$ | $390,473.94$ |

2,900.00
6,750.00
2,500.00
\$ $\quad 12,150.00$

1,110.00

| $2,327.79$ |
| ---: |
|  |
|  |
| $\mathbf{5}, 685.75$ |
| $\$ \quad \mathbf{5 , 1 2 3 . 5 4}$ |

30,444.65
1,200.00
6,539.00
6,660.00
10,795.15
1,434.00
10,000.00
1,839.83
3,500.00
7,135.70
\$ 79,548.33

|  | $2,283.75$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ \quad 2,283.75$ |  |

7,831.09
1,114.32
1,497.22
22,510.27

|  |
| :--- | | $19,177.58$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ \quad \mathbf{5 2 , 1 3 0 . 4 8}$ |

Tualatin Hills

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 259723 | 01/25/2012 | DoorWorks Co., Inc. |  | 10,584.00 |
| 259727 | 01/25/2012 | Enerspect Medical Solutions |  | 27,540.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements | \$ | 64,189.94 |
| 259329 | 01/05/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 956.16 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Commonwealth Park $\mathbf{N}$ Trail Realignment | \$ | 956.16 |
| 259784 | 01/25/2012 | Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP |  | 2,500.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Energy Savings Improvements | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 259760 | 01/25/2012 | NuStep, Inc. |  | 6,324.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Facility Challenge Grants | \$ | 6,324.00 |
| 259409 | 01/05/2012 | Comcast Institutional Networks |  | 36,041.40 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Information Technology Improvement | \$ | 36,041.40 |
| 259738 | 01/25/2012 | Hewlett-Packard Company |  | 9,126.79 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Information Technology Replacement |  | 9,126.79 |
| 259411 | 01/05/2012 | Cook Security Group |  | 6,197.73 |
| 259499 | 01/10/2012 | Lowe's |  | 1,302.18 |
| 259564 | 01/13/2012 | 3J Consulting, Inc. |  | 4,332.93 |
| 259574 | 01/13/2012 | Brian C Jackson, Architect LLC |  | 2,503.03 |
| 259624 | 01/13/2012 | Robert Gray Partners, Inc. |  | 235,787.72 |
| 259715 | 01/25/2012 | Christenson Electric, Inc. |  | 1,760.00 |
| 259768 | 01/25/2012 | Pacific Fence \& Wire Co. |  | 1,476.09 |
| 259791 | 01/25/2012 | Video Only |  | 1,299.98 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Maintenance Facility Renovation | \$ | 254,659.66 |
| 259485 | 01/10/2012 | GRI Geotechnical Resources, Inc. |  | 1,602.50 |
| 259493 | 01/10/2012 | Kittelson \& Associates, Inc. |  | 11,300.00 |
| 259508 | 01/10/2012 | Romtec, Inc. |  | 1,832.00 |
| 259634 | 01/13/2012 | United Pipe \& Supply Co., Inc. |  | 8,520.32 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Improvements | \$ | 23,254.82 |
| 259329 | 01/05/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 1,420.75 |
| 259709 | 01/25/2012 | Beighley \& Associates, Inc. |  | 9,125.00 |
| 259735 | 01/25/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 2,065.55 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Park \& Trail Replacements | \$ | 12,611.30 |
| 259387 | 01/05/2012 | Ash Creek Associates, Inc. |  | 1,428.00 |
| 259508 | 01/10/2012 | Romtec, Inc. |  | 35,000.00 |
| 259575 | 01/13/2012 | Brown Contracting, Inc. |  | 52,419.10 |
| 259773 | 01/25/2012 | Pinnell Busch, Inc. |  | 3,935.36 |
| 259846 | 01/31/2012 | Alta Planning \& Design, Inc. |  | 9,334.31 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - SDC - Park Development/Improvements | \$ | 102,116.77 |
| 259484 | 01/10/2012 | Exercise Equipment NW, Inc. |  | 99,138.50 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Stuhr Center/Bequest Fnd Project | \$ | 99,138.50 |
| 259374 | 01/05/2012 | PGE |  | 26,207.97 |
| 259545 | 01/13/2012 | PGE |  | 7,069.54 |
| 259683 | 01/25/2012 | PGE |  | 7,327.68 |
|  |  | Electricity | \$ | 40,605.19 |
| 259559 | 01/13/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 168,222.34 |
| 259805 | 01/31/2012 | Kaiser Foundation Health Plan |  | 185,149.21 |
| 259808 | 01/31/2012 | Oregon Dental Service |  | 26,357.08 |
| 259811 | 01/31/2012 | Standard Insurance Company |  | 30,615.78 |
| 259816 | 01/31/2012 | UNUM Life Insurance-LTC |  | 1,304.20 |
|  |  | Employee Benefits | \$ | 411,648.61 |


| Check Number |
| :--- |
| 259554 |
| 259556 |
| 259560 |
| 259561 |
| 259802 |
| 259806 |
| 259810 |
| 259813 |
| 259815 |


| Check Date |
| :--- |
| $01 / 13 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 13 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 13 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 13 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 31 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 31 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 31 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 31 / 2012$ |
| $01 / 31 / 2012$ |

259664
259780
259782

| 259373 | $01 / 05 / 2012$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 259682 | $01 / 25 / 2012$ |
|  |  |
| 259775 | $01 / 25 / 2012$ |

259798
259510
259728
259736
259740
259770

259380


259419
259593
259597
259695
259785

259338

259726

259363

259441
259501
259708
259717
259756
259759

| Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aetna/ING Life Insurance |  | 7,779.16 |
| Manley Services |  | 7,643.26 |
| Standard Insurance Company |  | 30,289.89 |
| Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,540.00 |
| Aetna/ING Life Insurance |  | 7,879.16 |
| Manley Services |  | 8,577.76 |
| Standard Insurance Co. |  | 12,372.13 |
| Standard Insurance Company |  | 3,540.00 |
| THPRD - Employee Assn. |  | 6,988.57 |
| Employee Deductions | \$ | 88,609.93 |
| Web Steel Sales, Inc. |  | 5,318.00 |
| Rotary Lift |  | 14,220.00 |
| Suburban Ford, Inc. |  | 29,423.00 |
| Fleet Capital Replacement | \$ | 48,961.00 |
| NW Natural |  | 22,775.64 |
| NW Natural |  | 31,587.77 |
| Heat | \$ | 54,363.41 |
| Play-well TEKnologies |  | 1,332.00 |
| Instructional Services | \$ | 1,332.00 |
| Colleen Haskell |  | 1,246.00 |
| JE Refund Cancelled Event | \$ | 1,246.00 |
| Schulz-Clearwater Sanitation, Inc. |  | 4,736.25 |
| Engineered Control Products |  | 4,978.43 |
| Guaranteed Pest Control |  | 1,704.00 |
| Iron Horse Group |  | 3,500.00 |
| Pavement Maintenance, Inc. |  | 1,207.34 |
| Maintenance Services | \$ | 16,126.02 |
| Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. |  | 5,762.03 |
| Coastwide Laboratories |  | 6,699.46 |
| Ewing Irrigation Products, Inc |  | 1,399.65 |
| Home Depot Credit Services |  | 3,722.50 |
| JB Instant Lawn, Inc. |  | 1,410.00 |
| Airgas Nor Pac, Inc. |  | 1,144.87 |
| Maintenance Supplies | \$ | 20,138.51 |
| THP Foundation |  | 1,745.59 |
| Misc Income - Swim Sales, Pepsi Commission | \$ | 1,745.59 |
| OfficeMax - A Boise Company |  | 4,000.39 |
| Office Supplies | \$ | 4,000.39 |
| Employment Department |  | 7,155.00 |
| Oregon Unemployment Tax | \$ | 7,155.00 |
|  |  |  |
| United States Postal Service |  | 3,000.00 |
| Postage | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| Kingstad Meetings \& Events |  | 5,000.00 |
| Jenna Lopez |  | 3,000.00 |
| Beery, Elsnor \& Hammond, LLP |  | 8,526.69 |
| Creative Financial Staffing |  | 10,000.00 |
| Navigator Group Consulting, LLC |  | 6,177.27 |
| Northwest Techrep, Inc. |  | 2,077.50 |
| Professional Services | \$ | 34,781.46 |

Tualatin Hills

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 259368 | 01/05/2012 | Wilson Sporting Goods |  | 1,607.42 |
| 259424 | 01/05/2012 | Food Services of America |  | 1,885.22 |
| 259426 | 01/05/2012 | Fred Meyer Customer Charges |  | 1,268.80 |
| 259436 | 01/05/2012 | HSBC Business Solutions |  | 1,894.07 |
| 259437 | 01/05/2012 | Insight Public Sector |  | 1,892.91 |
| 259446 | 01/05/2012 | Lazerquick |  | 2,147.85 |
| 259483 | 01/10/2012 | Crown Trophy |  | 1,032.00 |
| 259800 | 01/26/2012 | Portland Trail Blazers |  | 14,907.00 |
|  |  | Program Supplies | \$ | 26,635.27 |
| 259553 | 01/13/2012 | Waste Management of Oregon |  | 6,309.44 |
|  |  | Refuse Services | \$ | 6,309.44 |
| 259778 | 01/25/2012 | Ricoh Americas Corp. |  | 1,362.57 |
|  |  | Rental Equipment | \$ | 1,362.57 |
| 259611 | 01/13/2012 | OfficeMax - A Boise Company |  | 1,290.90 |
|  |  | Small Furniture \& Equipment | \$ | 1,290.90 |
| 259353 | 01/05/2012 | Sound Security, Inc. |  | 9,717.00 |
| 259514 | 01/10/2012 | Telogis, Inc |  | 1,200.00 |
| 259595 | 01/13/2012 | Hughes Electrical Contractors |  | 1,787.27 |
| 259609 | 01/13/2012 | NW Tree Specialists |  | 4,150.00 |
| 259629 | 01/13/2012 | Sound Security, Inc. |  | 3,283.33 |
| 259771 | 01/25/2012 | Peterson Structural Engineers, Inc. |  | 2,970.00 |
| 259790 | 01/25/2012 | Urban Forest Pro, LLC |  | 1,050.00 |
|  |  | Technical Services | \$ | 24,157.60 |
| 259400 | 01/05/2012 | Bureau of Labor \& Industries |  | 1,500.00 |
|  |  | Technical Training | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| 259533 | 01/13/2012 | AT\&T Mobility |  | 1,552.33 |
| 259544 | 01/13/2012 | Nextel Communications |  | 3,151.76 |
| 259679 | 01/25/2012 | Integra Telecom |  | 4,864.58 |
|  |  | Telecommunications | \$ | 9,568.67 |
| 259356 | 01/05/2012 | Superior Tire Service |  | 1,970.24 |
|  |  | Vehicle/Equipment Services | \$ | 1,970.24 |
| 259375 | 01/05/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 7,152.41 |
| 259534 | 01/13/2012 | City of Beaverton |  | 10,823.26 |
| 259685 | 01/25/2012 | Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 1,439.85 |
|  |  | Water \& Sewer | \$ | 19,415.52 |
|  |  | Report Total: | \$ | 99,767.26 |

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

## General Fund Financial Summary

 January, 2012|  |  |  | \% YTD to | Full |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | Year to | Prorated <br> Bonth | Drorated <br> Budget | Fiscal Year <br> Budget |

Program Resources:
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers \& Programs
Sports Programs \& Field Rentals
Natural Resources
Total Program Resources

| \$ | 151,277 | $\$ 1,269,174$ | $\$$ | $1,256,241$ | $101.0 \%$ | \$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 62,882 | 450,541 | 518,330 | $86.9 \%$ | 868,224 |  |
|  | 230,750 | $2,245,345$ | $2,670,517$ | $84.1 \%$ | $4,945,402$ |  |
|  | 39,907 | 621,771 | 572,012 | $108.7 \%$ | $1,164,993$ |  |
|  | 12,316 | 103,249 | 77,576 | $133.1 \%$ | 251,054 |  |
|  | 497,132 | $4,690,080$ | $5,094,675$ | $92.1 \%$ | $9,556,045$ |  |

Other Resources:
Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources

## Total Resources

Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

| 52,692 | 390,677 | 375,573 | $104.0 \%$ | 707,294 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 253,282 | $2,113,585$ | $2,158,605$ | $97.9 \%$ | $3,481,621$ |
| 73,681 | 542,305 | 544,095 | $99.7 \%$ | 928,490 |
| 265,928 | $2,741,582$ | $3,060,864$ | $89.6 \%$ | $4,905,231$ |
| 102,516 | $1,106,579$ | $1,113,067$ | $99.4 \%$ | $1,778,062$ |
| 128,236 | 904,683 | 928,977 | $97.4 \%$ | $1,695,214$ |
| 97,218 | 810,597 | 843,596 | $96.1 \%$ | $1,506,421$ |
| 973,553 | $8,610,008$ | $9,024,777$ | $95.4 \%$ | $15,002,333$ |

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Planning
Capital Outlay
Total Other Expenditures:

## Total Expenditures

Revenues over (under) Expenditures

## Beginning Cash on Hand

Ending Cash on Hand

| 10,861 | 135,263 | 90,532 | $149.4 \%$ | $2,110,050$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 109,535 | 979,177 | 989,193 | $99.0 \%$ | $1,766,416$ |
| $1,131,737$ | $9,514,766$ | $9,523,305$ | $99.9 \%$ | $16,562,270$ |
| 119,789 | 829,167 | 876,525 | $94.6 \%$ | $1,516,480$ |
| 552,431 | $3,558,869$ | $4,328,061$ | $82.2 \%$ | $5,183,307$ |
| $1,924,353$ | $15,017,242$ | $15,807,617$ | $95.0 \%$ | $27,138,523$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | $2,897,906$ | $\$ 23,627,250$ | $\$ 24,832,394$ | $95.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 42,140,856$ |  |
| $\$(2,070,474)$ | $\$ 4,359,827$ | $\$$ | $3,511,133$ | $124.2 \%$ | | \$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |



General Fund Expenditures


## MEMO

DATE: February 22, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Appointing Parks Advisory Committee Members

Introduction
Staff requests Board of Directors approval of one new Committee member and one returning Committee member appointments to the Parks Advisory Committee.

## Background

At their February 21, 2012 meeting, the Parks Advisory Committee recommended that the Board of Directors approve and appoint Virginia Bruce and re-appoint Carol Rogat to the Committee via the attached resolution.

Please note that the Advisory Committee members' applications and Parks Advisory Committee's current roster are attached.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2012-06, appointing Parks Advisory Committee members.

## Resolution 2012-06

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, Oregon

## A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee members shall be appointed by the Board for two or three-year terms as noted below; and

WHEREAS, the committee members have demonstrated their interest and knowledge in the Committee's area of responsibility.

## THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the 2-year appointment of Virginia Bruce, and the 2-year re-appointment of Carol Rogat to the Parks Advisory Committee.

Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of March, 2012.

Bob Scott, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

ATTEST:

Jessica Collins
Recording Secretary

## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION

| Name: Virginia Bruce | Date: $1 / 23 / 12$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Address: | City: | Zip: |
| Phone \# <br> Email: |  |  |

## Advisory Committee you are applying for: (You must reside within the Park District boundaries)

## Recreation $\square$ Aquatics $\square$ Sports $\square$ Trails $\square$ Elsie Stuhr Center $\square$ Historic Facilities $\square$ Natural Resources $\square$ Parks $\boxtimes$

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Advisory Committee:

I would like to represent people who use parks in my area (NE quadrant), and my demographic (those without children in a sports program, and who don't play sports). I use parks for walking, enjoying nature, and with my dog.
I would also like to have creative input into different ways of providing facilities to make the system more attractive to people to annex into the district.
I would also like to help the district figure out how to manage historical and other non-typical park properties, including JQA Young House.
I would also like to help create more interpretation for natural areas \& opportunities for people to help with them.
2. How long have you lived in the community? I have lived here since 1995
3. Have you or your family participated in any Center or other Recreation District activities?

What:
Rarely, I manage the Cedar Mill Cider Festival at the JQAY house. I attend the Cedar Mill Park Concert and used to help organize it. I used to take some classes at the CH Center but now I don't have the time!

When:

Where:

Number of Years:

## *CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 97006

## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION

4. Have you served on other volunteer committees? YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$ If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

I was a member of the Ad Hoc Committee to study the lack of parks in the NE Quadrant. We worked together to produce a report that was published in 2005. I set up a website so we could exchange info \& do a survey.
I was a member of the Ad Hoc JQA Young House Committee that met in 2004-2005. I participated in the discussions and in the editing of the report.
I have served on numerous committees and boards including Cedar Mill Business Association, CPO 1 (Vice Chair), Rock Creek Watershed Partners.
5. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Advisory Committee:

I am a writer and graphic designer, so I can help with any documents, statements etc. that the committee needs to produce. I also stay up on local politics and land use.
6. Term of Office preferred:

2-YEAR TERM $\square$ or 3 -YEAR TERM $\square$ Please check one
Whatever works best for you.

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 97006
503/645-7846 fax 503/629-6301
Page 2 of 2

## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION

| Name: Carol Rogat |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: |  |
| Phone \# (H) <br> Email: | City: |

Advisory Committee you are applying for: (You must reside within the Park District boundaries)

## Recreation $\square$ Aquatics $\square$ Sports $\square$ Trails $\square$ Elsie Stuhr Center $\square$ Historic Facilities $\square$ <br> Natural Resources $\square$ Parks $\boxtimes$

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Advisory Committee:

I wish to continue on this committee and help pursue some recently initiated projects, and goals.
2. How long have you lived in the community? $\underline{22}$ yrs.
3. Have you or your family participated in any Center or other Recreation District activities?

What:
My boys participated in soccer, and I have taken several exercise classes.

When: Between 1990-2001

Where: At Conestoga \& Garden Home recreation centers.

Number of Years: 17 yrs.

## *CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

# TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT <br> ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION 

4. Have you served on other volunteer committees? YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$ If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:

I have been on the Beaverton City Library Advisory Board (1992-1995) and Beaverton Arts Commission (2002-2007). I served as BAC Board Secretary 2004, Pres Elect 2005, and President 2006.
$\underline{\text { am }}$ presently doing press releases and assisting with event planning for the Beaverton Civic Theatre.
5. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Advisory Committee:

I have written materials from newsletter articles to grant applications and from publicity to job process notebook. I am familiar with budget reports, and have assisted with strategic planning and event coordination.
6. Term of Office preferred:

2-YEAR TERM $\boxtimes$ or 3-YEAR TERM $\square$ Please check one

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

Connecting People, Parks \& Nature

## PARKS <br> ADVISORY COMMTTEE ROSTER

Last Updated: 1/26/12

| Committee Member | Member Since | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miles Glowacki Chair | June 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Greg Cody | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Carol Rogat | February 2010 |  |  |  | 2012 |
| Blair Thomas | June 2010 | $809 \mathrm{M} \times 175^{\text {th }} \mathrm{P}$ |  |  | 2012 |
| Sue Rimkeit | June 2011 |  |  |  | 2014 |
| Ex-Officio Member | Representing | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| Dave Chrisman | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staff } \\ & \text { THPRD } \end{aligned}$ | 6220 SW $112^{\text {th }}$ <br> Beaverton, 97005 | 503/645-6433 | dchrisma@thprd.org | NA |
| Mike Janin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staff } \\ & \text { THPRD } \end{aligned}$ | 15707 SWWalker Road, Beaverton 97006 | 503/645-6433 | mjanin@thprd.org | NA |

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Rock Creek/North Bethany Trails Construction Contract

Introduction
Staff is seeking the Board of Directors approval of the lowest responsible bid for the construction of the Rock Creek/North Bethany Trails project.

## Background

The project went out to bid to a list of prequalified contractors for trail projects on December 15, 2011. The initial construction budget at the very beginning of the project was $\$ 1,402,500$. The construction estimate range as determined by two independent cost estimators was $\$ 1,144,682$ to $\$ 1,434,835$. In addition to the construction estimates, there is an additional $\$ 74,000$ in THPRD-purchased bridges and boardwalk structures for the project. When this number is added to the construction estimate range, the total construction estimate range for the project would be $\$ 1,218,682$ to $\$ 1,508,835$.

The bid opening was on January 17, 2012 and the District received a total of seven bids. The lowest responsible bid was submitted by Brant Construction, Inc., with a base bid of \$894,000. Staff has reviewed their bid and has determined that Brant Construction, Inc. has submitted a responsive and responsible bid. Adding the THPRD-purchased bridges and boardwalk structures $(\$ 74,000)$ to the Brant Construction, Inc., base bid $(\$ 894,000)$ results in a total construction budget of $\$ 968,000$.

All permit documents have been submitted to and accepted by Washington County. Staff is completing the final assurances requirements and expects to pick up the permits in early March, which will be before the beginning of construction. The construction phase of the project is tentatively scheduled for substantial completion by March 2013, when the trails will be opened to the public. Mitigation preparation and planting will be completed over the winter months.

## Proposal Request

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of the lowest responsible bid of \$894,000 from Brant Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Rock Creek/North Bethany Trails project and authorization for the General Manager or his designee to execute the contract.

## Benefits of Proposal

Acceptance of the bid from Brant Construction, Inc. will result in some project funding savings due to their bid being below the project cost estimates. The exact amount will be determined after project change orders are processed and the project is completed. The completion of this project will result in the construction of two segments of the Rock Creek Regional Trail. The first segment is through Allenbach Acres Park (between NW 185 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and NW West Union

Road), and the second segment is from Kaiser Road east to the Westside Trail. These two segments are the last two significant gaps in the Rock Creek Regional Trail within the District's service area.

The project will also complete the North Bethany Trail from NW Reindeer Drive to NW Springville Road, linking the Rock Creek Regional Trail to the PCC Rock Creek Campus and the PCC Rock Creek Recreation Complex. Completion of this project will result in a long-term community asset providing recreation and alternative transportation opportunities to the District and its patrons.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There appears to be no downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the following items:

1. Acceptance of the lowest responsible bid from Brant Construction, Inc., for the construction of the Rock Creek/North Bethany Trails project for the amount of \$894,000; and
2. Authorization for the General Manager or his designee, to execute the contract.

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District PROJECT AWARD RECOMMENDATION REPORT

| Project: | Rock Creek / North Bethany Trails Construction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractor: | Brant Construction, Inc. |  |  |
| Contractor worked for THPRD previously: NO |  |  |  |
| Contractor references checked: YES |  |  |  |
| Contractor registered with appropriate boards: YES |  |  |  |
| SCOPE OF WORK |  |  |  |
| Location: | Three sites in Washington County: Allenbach Acres Park, College Park, and Kaiser Woods Park |  |  |
| Description: | Three paved trail segments, one a community trail segment and two regional trail segments, including retaining walls, bridges and mitigation plantings |  |  |
| FUNDING SOURCE |  |  |  |
| Funding Sou |  | Amount: | Page: |
| 2008 Bond M | - Project Construction Budget | \$1,402,500.00 | N/A |
| Total Project | ding | \$2,206,500.00 | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |
| PROPOSALS RECEIVED |  |  |  |


| Low to High Bid | Contractor | Base <br> Bid Amount | Completed <br> Bid forms |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Brant Construction | $\$ 894,000.00$ | Yes |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Colf Construction | $\$ 940,000.00$ | Yes |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | JP Contractors | $\$ 1,233,490.00$ | Yes |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Dirt and Aggregate <br> Interchange | $\$ 1,248,802.00$ | Yes |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Bernhardt Golf | $\$ 1,329,758.00$ | No |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Elting NW | $\$ 1,362,000.00$ | Yes |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Goodfellow Brothers | $\$ 1,441,900.00$ | No |


| PROJECTED PROJECT SCHEDULE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Invitation to Bidders - Email | December 15, 2011 |
| Mandatory Pre-Bid Conference | December 21, 2011 at 10:00 AM |
| Sealed Bids Due and Bid Closing Time | January 17, 2012 at 2:00 PM |
| Bid Opening | January 17, 2012 at 2:05 PM |
| Final Bid Review / Memo to Board | January 20, 2012 |
| THPRD Board Meeting to approve bid | March 5, 2012 (tentative) |
| Notice of Intent to Award - Start contract <br> preparation | March 7, 2012 (tentative) |
| Notice to Proceed (approx.) | April 2, 2012 (tentative) |
| Preconstruction Site Meeting (approx) | April 4, 2012 (tentative) |
| Preconstruction Conference with County | April 9-13, 2012 (tentative) |


| Site Mobilization (approx.) | April 16, 2012 (tentative) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Desired Project Duration - Notice to <br> Proceed to Substantial Completion | 11 months (tentative) |






## MEMO

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Authorizing Local Government Grant Program Application

## Introduction

The Oregon Parks and Recreation Department is accepting applications for the upcoming 2012 Local Government Grant Program (LGGP). The applications are due by April 6, 2012. Staff is recommending that the District apply for assistance to help cover the costs of installing a viewing platform and interpretive signage associated with the Vista Brook Park bond redevelopment project. Staff requests the Board of Directors approval of Resolution No. 201207 , so staff can apply for grant assistance.

## Background

Grant proposals may include land acquisition, park development, and/or rehabilitation of existing facilities. Eligible park development projects include basic outdoor recreation facilities and associated support facilities, such as pathways, boardwalks, and signage. Staff has identified the installation of a viewing platform and interpretive signage at Vista Brook Park as a strong candidate for 2012 LGGP assistance. LGGP grants require a $50 \%$ match in funding from the sponsoring agency.

## Proposal Request

Grant assistance is being sought as supplemental funding for the Vista Brook Park bond redevelopment project. The grant funds would be used to pay for part of the costs associated with the installation of the viewing platform improvements as part of the master plan approved in June 2011. The viewing platform, which will overlook the existing pond in the park's northeast corner, includes the installation of a pathway extension, boardwalk, and interpretive signage. The viewing platform was one of the project elements that gained the most public support during the master plan process. The total cost for these improvements is estimated at $\$ 50,000$, which includes design and engineering, permitting, site preparation, and construction. With a successful application, construction would occur at the same time as the overall park redevelopment project currently scheduled for the summer of 2013.

Staff recommends submitting a grant application for $\$ 25,000$, which is $50 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. Staff is proposing that the LGGP grant amount of $\$ 25,000$ be initially funded from the FY 2012/13 General Fund. This amount would be reimbursed at the completion of the project.

The District's financial responsibility is estimated at $\$ 25,000$, which is $50 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's matching amount of $\$ 25,000$ would be funded from Bond Measure funds.

## Benefits of Proposal

With a successful grant application, the District will receive supplemental funds for the Vista Brook Park redevelopment project, full implementation of the park's approved master plan, and additional recreation opportunities such as wildlife viewing and interpretation.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

With a successful grant application, the appropriation of funds in the FY 2012/13 General Fund will be required. This money would be reimbursed upon project completion.

## Maintenance Impact

The impact to maintenance costs should be minimal, as the improvements will be designed with sustainability in mind. Regular and routine maintenance of the new improvements will be in a similar fashion as is currently practiced by the District at Vista Brook Park.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval and signature of Resolution No. 2012-07 to apply for the 2012 Local Government Grant Program (LGGP) for the installation of a viewing platform and associated improvements at Vista Brook Park.

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT AUTHORIZING LOCAL GOVERNMENT GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION FOR IMPROVEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE VISTA BROOK PARK BOND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT 

WHEREAS, state funds are available through the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department for the 2012 Local Government Grant Program for park projects; and

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District (THPRD) is a local government agency/special service district that is eligible to receive said state grant funds; and

WHEREAS, the installation of pathways, boardwalks, and interpretive signage for a viewing platform associated with the redevelopment of Vista Brook Park is a high priority project that would meet local needs identified in the Vista Brook Park Master Plan, THPRD's Comprehensive Plan; the Oregon State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP); and the Oregon Statewide Planning Goals and Objectives for recreation.

LET IT HEREBY BE RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT IN BEAVERTON, OREGON, that:

THRPD staff is authorized to submit an application to the Oregon Parks and Recreation Department for assistance in funding the installation of pathways, boardwalks, and interpretive signage for a viewing platform associated with the Vista Brook Park bond redevelopment project.

Approved by the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors on the $5^{\text {th }}$ day of March 2012.

> Bob Scott, President

Larry Pelatt, Secretary

## ATTEST:

Jessica Collins, Recording Secretary



MEMO

DATE: February 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Bond Program

## Introduction

The information and discussion in this memo adds to that which has been provided to the Board at previous meetings relating to implementation of the Bond Program. This memo discusses the most recent Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee.

## Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Meeting

The Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee met on February 23, 2012. Updates were provided on the bond program capital projects report for the previous quarter including new budget cost variance explanations by project, the most recent projects timeline including schedule exceptions explanations, and on the status of land acquisitions. The committee also received the final version of the most recent annual report which includes graphics and photographs; overall, the committee was very complimentary of this final version of the report.

The committee spent considerable time discussing the recommendations contained in the "Looking Ahead" section of the 2011 report. Recognizing that there are only four months left in the reporting period for the third annual Oversight Committee Report, Chair Marc San Soucie led the discussion to ensure that these recommendations were being addressed within this reporting period.

The committee also began discussions on the preparation of the annual report for the year ending June 30, 2012. The committee intends to begin work on the report template in the spring of 2012 so that the final report can be completed more quickly after the June 30 year end. Several committee members volunteered to be members of the report writing subcommittee.

The committee's next meeting will be in May 2012, although a specific date has not been set yet.

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: $\quad$ Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Jim McElhinny, Director Park \& Recreation Services

## RE: $\quad$ Advisory Committee Structure Update

## Introduction

The Park District historically was served by nine Advisory Committees (Aquatics, Cedar Hills, Garden Home, Conestoga, Trails, Athletic Center, Nature Park, Jenkins Estate, and Stuhr Center) whose members were appointed by the Park District's Board of Directors.

A specific action called out in the 2006 THPRD Comprehensive Plan is to "Consider evaluating the Park District Advisory Committee structure, roles, responsibilities and procedures to ensure that committees continue to provide comprehensive, balanced guidance in an efficient and effective manner".

## Background

At their December 8, 2008 meeting, the Board of Directors authorized the General Manager to create a Task Force to review the current structure of the Park District's Advisory Committees, and provide recommendations to the Board for their future structure purpose and functionality.

The Advisory Committee Task Force met several times in 2009, and was comprised of current Advisory Committee members and THPRD staff.

In order to provide balanced comprehensive guidance to the Park District now and in the future as indicated in the Comprehensive Plan, the Task Force recommended that the Park District revisit the Advisory Committee structure by recognizing broad-based Advisory Committees. These broad-based Advisory Committees (whose members are appointed by the THPRD Board of Directors) would be formed or combined from existing committees, offering members the opportunity to transition to the new broad-based committees or form Friends Groups that focus on a specific facility or interest area. Advisory Committees would gather input from the public and advise the Park District on programs and activities, as well as assist with the follow through of the comprehensive planning process.

The Task Force recommended that the Park District restructure/rename some Committees, continue some existing Committees as they were, and create some new Committees.

The restructured and new Advisory Committees recommended by the Task Force were:

- A Parks Advisory Committee was formed to provide representation for the passive park users in the Park District.
- The Nature Park Advisory Committee was restructured and renamed to form the Natural Resources Advisory Committee, taking into consideration the Tualatin Hills Nature Park, Cooper Mountain Regional Nature Park and other Park District natural area interests and issues.
- Combining the three community recreation centers (Cedar Hills, Garden Home, and Conestoga) into a Recreation Advisory Committee would create a broader scope and provide opportunities for creative programming suggestions and sharing of information and resources.
- Retaining the Stuhr Center Advisory Committee was viewed as important for senior suggestions and participation. Broadening the scope of this existing committee to look at all Park District senior programs provided additional benefits.
- The Jenkins Estate Advisory Committee was renamed the Historic Facilities Advisory Committee to more accurately reflect the scope of the committee's work with the Jenkins Estate, the Fanno Farmhouse and the John Quincy Adams Young House.
- The Trails Advisory Committee was retained as-is to provide an important resource for the Park District as we continue to implement the Trails Master Plan.
- The Aquatics Advisory Committee would continue as it was structured to represent the varied interests of the Aquatics community.
- The Athletic Center Advisory Committee was renamed the Sports Advisory Committee to reflect the broad reach and contact the Committee has with a variety of community sports groups.


## Transition to Broad-Based Advisory Committees

The Park District Board of Directors approved the Task Force recommendations on October 5, 2009. A framework for initial Advisory Committee guidance of Advisory Committee by laws is attached.

Staff initiated advertisement for broad-based Advisory Committee members beginning in October 2009. Advertisements were posted on the Park District's web site, placed in The Oregonian and The Valley Times newspapers, CPO newsletters, and were included in the monthly Park District e-newsletter. In addition, signs noting the application opportunity were placed in each of the District's facilities.

The newly formed Parks Advisory Committee and Recreation Advisory Committee were slow to populate with new members, but with additional advertisements for membership and member/staff recruitment efforts, they did grow in size. These new committees struggled some with their new identity and charge early on; however, they are all now completely operational and providing valuable input to the Park District.

Currently, all Advisory Committees are viable, successful and fully functioning. Additionally, Friends Groups have been formed for Tualatin Hills Nature Park, Cedar Hills Recreation Center, Garden Home Recreation Center, Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center and the John Quincy Adams Young House.

## Presentations to the Board of Directors

Since early 2010, six of the eight Advisory Committees have presented to the Board of Directors providing updates as to their activities and plans for the upcoming year. The Historic Facilities Advisory Committee is scheduled to present to the Board in April of 2012 and the Recreation Advisory Committee is scheduled to present to the Board in June of 2012 to round out the first cycle of presentations.

## Board Action Requested

No formal action is requested - this presentation is informational only.

## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE BY-LAWS/GUIDELINES TEMPLATE

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors has given members of the Advisory Committee the following charge:

The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to receive public input and offer suggestions or recommendations regarding the operation of the $\qquad$ to
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.
In pursuit of the above charge, members of the $\qquad$ Advisory Committee will be guided by the following by-laws/guidelines.

- The Advisory Committee shall consist of 7-12 members who reside within Park District boundaries selected from a review of applications by the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors. Permanent members include the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Superintendent of $\qquad$ , and may include Center Supervisors.
- Officers will include Chairperson, Vice Chairperson and Secretary. These positions shall be elected by the Committee members and will serve two-year terms.
- Terms of membership shall be two-years with membership transfer to occur in August. $\qquad$ of the $\qquad$ original members shall choose to terminate their membership during odd years, and the remaining original members shall terminate their membership during even years. Members may serve additional terms upon Park District Board of Director approval.
- Any member of the Advisory Committee not wishing to complete his/her full term shall notify the Committee in writing to allow adequate time to find a suitable replacement. The Park District Board of Directors will approve replacement member(s).
- Meetings shall be scheduled on a minimum of a quarterly basis. Failure to meet on a minimum basis is subject to review by the Park District's Board of Directors.
- Any member who does not attend three or more regularly scheduled meetings in a given year may be asked to present to the Advisory Committee in writing just cause of such absence. Members of the Advisory Committee shall decide if any action is necessary. If the Chairperson recommends removal from the Committee, the Park District Board of Directors shall make the final decision.
- Members of the Committee shall be available to assist at special functions, and upon request, speak to the public to help maintain contact with the community at large.
- Any fundraising performed by the Advisory Committee shall be in compliance with all state and local laws. All funds shall be used to better the programs or facilities of the
. Funds shall be secured in the Tualatin Hills Park Foundation.
- All Advisory Committee action shall be in accordance to and consistent with Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District policy and procedures.
- Only community Advisory Committee members shall have voting privileges with regard to Committee action.
- THPRD Superintendents and Center Supervisors shall be non-voting members, and their presence will not constitute a quorum.
Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97006 www.thprd.org


## MEMO

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

## RE: Public Awareness Program

Staff are continually working to increase public awareness of the district, its facilities and programs. Recently, the Communications \& Outreach Division hired a consultant, Janna Lopez of MediaWrite, to assess Park District communications and give recommendations for improvement.

Jana worked with members of the Management Team and other THPRD staff on strategies to ensure that the Park District not only remains viable and sustainable in years to come but also grows and reaches new audiences. Detailed discussions centered on where the district is now, where it wants to be, and how to honor the district's past while bridging to the future.

Jana will be at the March Regular Board meeting to discuss her findings, including a proposal for a new awareness and branding campaign for THPRD.

## Action Requested

No formal action is requested - this presentation is informational only.

DATE: February 27, 2012
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for March 5, 2012

## Fanno Creek Trail Tree Maintenance Project

The committee that was formed to review the Fanno Creek Trail Tree Maintenance Project, which involves the proposed limbing, trimming and pruning, as well as select removal of some trees along the trail, recently held its second meeting. A verbal update will be provided to the Board regarding the meeting, as well as the Committee's progress to-date.

## Kaiser Ridge Park Vegetation Restoration Project

Park Maintenance staff are coordinating the replacement of landscape vegetation recently removed by Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) crews adjacent to a powerline corridor at Kaiser Ridge Park. Crews contracting with BPA erroneously trimmed shrubs and trees planted to buffer residents and those in landscape beds adjacent to the play structure. Staff from BPA have acknowledged the oversight and are working with THPRD staff on a replacement plan.

## Walker Road Mid-Block Crossing

The District recently received an Oregon Bicycle/Pedestrian grant for $\$ 243,000$ for a mid-block crossing on Walker Road for the Waterhouse Trail. A right-of-way permit application is scheduled for submittal to Washington County in early March. The mid-block crossing will include the installation of a bicycle/pedestrian-activated light/signal. In addition to this project, staff is working with the consultants to redesign the south Waterhouse Trail segment as it approaches Walker Road in an effort to decrease the steep grade (17\%). The trail redesign and construction is a separate project and is needed in order to align the trail more directly with the mid-block crossing. It will also make the trail more accessible ( $5 \%$ grade) from a user perspective. Funding for the trail redesign and construction is proposed as a project in the FY 2012/13 Budget.

## Tualatin Valley Water District Reservoir Project

Park District staff recently met with staff from the Tualatin Valley Water District to discuss potential changes to TVWD facilities at Ridgewood View Park and Reservoir Park. Due to the structural failure of the reservoirs at both sites, TVWD needs to build a new reservoir and pump station in the vicinity. They are considering placing the reservoir in another part of Ridgewood View Park. In doing so, they would fund redevelopment of both parks. Much more work needs to be done in exploring the feasibility of this project, including discussions with the City of Beaverton, Washington County, the Beaverton School District and Clean Water Services as well as the Park District. TVWD staff will be discussing the matter with their board at their March meeting and would like to present the concept to you at a future Board meeting.

## Board of Directors/Budget Committee Meeting Schedule

Please note the following upcoming Board of Directors \& Budget Committee meetings:

- April Regular Board Meeting - Monday, April 2, 2012
- Budget Committee Work Session - Monday, April 16, 2012
- May Regular Board Meeting - Monday, May 7, 2012
- Budget Committee Meeting - Monday, May 21, 2012



# Management Report to the Board March 5, 2012 

Administration
Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning
Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant
Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities
Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services
Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. The graphics-added version of the Bond Citizen Oversight Committee's second annual report is now complete. It has been distributed to several hundred key stakeholders throughout the Beaverton area. The report is also available on www.thprd.org and at THPRD Centers and certain community locations, including libraries. Color, photos, quotes, and other graphic elements were added to the committee's text-only report to make it more interesting and visually appealing to readers.
2. As noted previously, the Park District has moved to separate winter and spring registration periods. This enables patrons to sign up for classes and programs closer to the actual start dates. But because it represents a break from tradition for THPRD and its patrons, a public education effort was in order. The primary communications tool used was a postcard mailing to all homes within THPRD boundaries. Advertising, online postings, and notices in Park District centers also were used.

## Aquatics

Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatic Program Services

1. Sunset Swim Center staff is preparing for the upcoming closure (March 10-June 3) when several big projects will be completed including seismic upgrades, and pool tank and floor resurfacing.
2. Beaverton Swim Center and Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center are offering Lifeguard Training courses over Spring Break. Both classes are full with wait lists. Staff will also be teaching a Lifeguard Instructor course at Conestoga during the same week. Offering the Instructor course in conjunction with the Lifeguard Training class gives the instructor candidates an opportunity to teach a portion of the material to an actual class.
3. Rod Harman will be inducted into the National Federation of High School Coaches Hall of Fame in June. This is a very deserving honor for a man who has dedicated much of his life to coaching youth swimming locally as the first coach of the Tualatin Hills Swim Club and at Beaverton, Aloha and Southridge High Schools.

## Maintenance <br> Dave Chrisman, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. Maintenance staff have relocated from the Schlottman House to the Fanno Creek Service Center. The warehouse is now operational along with the carpentry, electrical and sign shops. The Fleet Shops and Tool Room will be operational the first week of March. Park Maintenance staff serving the south side of the District began their service at the Center the last week of February. Park Maintenance staff serving the north side of the District will continue to operate from the HMT maintenance site, which will be downsized to a satellite facility.
2. Park Maintenance staff recently removed a play structure slide from Lawndale Park due to a recall notice from the manufacturer. The slide is being voluntarily recalled by the manufacturer due to a number of injuries reported nationally between 2006 and 2011. Staff removed the slide and posted a notice of the recall. We are now working with the distributor on the replacement. The distributor and manufacturer are structuring the replacement process to avoid any out of pocket expenses to the District.

Natural Resources \& Trails Management
Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Planting Season. By the end of February, staff and contractors will complete installation of more than 30,000 native plants throughout the District.
2. Preschool Success. An open house was held in January for the Interpretive Center's school-year length preschool, which led to a sell-out of one of the three classes.
3. Fanno Creek Trail Vision Committee. Staff formed and continue to meet with a committee of three local residents and two advisory committee members to help form a vision and gather community input on future vegetation and amenities along the Fanno Creek Trail between SW $92^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue and the Garden Home Recreation Center.
4. Volunteer Corner. Volunteers have been planting hundreds of trees and shrubs in our natural areas. February was the launch of a new e-mail volunteer newsletter. More and more volunteers are using a new electronic volunteer sign up and time tracking database which is more organized and efficient.

## Planning \& Development

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development

1. Central Bethany Development/Waterhouse Trail: In 2008, the District signed an SDC agreement with Central Bethany Development. In exchange for SDC credits, the developer agreed to sell the District 10.09 acres of land, design, permit, and construct a segment of the Waterhouse Trail and two other side trails. The total trail length is approximately 1,250 feet and it will also include approximately 220 feet of bridges/boardwalk structures. The developer's design consultants have obtained most of the required permits and the project is tentatively scheduled to begin construction in July. The project will be completed in the fall and will tie into the West Spur trail segment of the Waterhouse Trail bond project.

Programs \& Special Activities<br>Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities

1. The irrigation work has been completed at Southminster Community Garden. We anticipate that the garden will be completed by April 1 - weather permitting.
2. Proposed USTA Adult League changes are being discussed between the USTA/PNW and local facilities. The biggest challenge for the facilities is the split of the original Adult League into new $18+$ and $40+$ leagues. The current conversation is where to put the leagues as the facilities do not have capacity to run both leagues at the same time.
3. Volunteer Services \& Special Events staff has established ongoing promotion of volunteer opportunities through Intel's new online volunteer newsletter and has also attended Pacific University's Volunteer Fair.
4. The Jenkins Estate is now listed on two websites besides THPRD, myportlandwedding.com and portland wedding venues.com. Staff has seen a significant increase in phone calls, tours, and wedding bookings for summer 2012 and 2013.

## Recreation

Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. The 2012 All Recreation Summer Staff Orientation has been scheduled for Friday, June 15 at the Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center. This training enables the Park District trainers to provide District-wide instruction to summer staff at one location at the same time, instead of three separate locations.
2. Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center held their third middle school aged dance with Blackboard Music on Friday, February 3. Attendance has remained consistent at all dances with approximately 65 middle school aged students participating.

## Security Operations

Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. Security Operations is finishing edits on the second half of the Emergency Response Plan manual. This is a set of instructions for staff to follow should it become necessary to Shelter in Place for up to 72 hours. Included are forms that staff completes during the event. Shelter in Place scenario training for staff will be conducted in April.

## Sports <br> Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports

1. Sports Leagues
A. Youth: Middle School and $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade winter basketball programs are coming to an end. End of season tournaments and playoffs will be completed before Spring Break.
B. Adult: A new pilot pricing structure is being implemented for the Adult Softball program. The new pricing is intended to retain current teams and attract new teams through a more competitive pricing structure.
2. Affiliated Users
A. Soccer: Staff met with the Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League (THJSL) presidents and field representatives on February 21 for a Field Allocation Training session. The session was well attended, good questions were asked and it was received
positively. Another meeting was held February 28 with the THJSL Board only in an effort to assist them in making decisions regarding issues that need their attention.
B. Baseball and Softball: Staff piloted a new field allocation process for the baseball and softball affiliated users. The new process focused more on group participation in allocations and cooperative conflict resolution. Staff has received excellent reviews of the process along with some suggestions to improve it for next year.

## Business Services

Cathy Brucker, Finance Manager
Nancy Hartman-Noye, Human Resources Manager
Mark Hokkanen, Risk and Contract Manager Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager
Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. The online applicant tracking system, NEOGOV, went live on January 24. In the first month, 18 positions were posted and we experienced over 4,000 viewings; of those 160 completed the application process. NEOGOV also collects voluntary ethnicity and demographic information that was not previously available. Hiring supervisors are reporting that they like the capability to view applicant information electronically and only find it necessary to print their top few candidates, so we have already recognized a tangible paper savings. Overall, the applicant volume appears to be normal and we have not experienced a decline because of people finding a barrier to entry with the allelectronic system.
2. Discussions have begun with McKinstry for a Phase II of the Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC). They are currently compiling a preliminary list of possible projects. The first step in the ESPC process is the completion of an Energy Audit, which has been approved as a business plan for inclusion in the 2012/13 Proposed Budget.
3. Staff is continuing the process of implementing online requisitioning, resulting in a paperless purchasing process. Training has been conducted with Maintenance and Planning, and will be phased out to all other departments by the end of March.
4. The Information Services Department has been working with Maintenance staff to coordinate the move to Fanno Creek Service Center. The primary role of IS staff has been to move data, reconfigure phones and make sure staff are able to login once they are setup in their new office. While the majority of staff that are moving are in the Maintenance Department, other departments with staff moving are Risk \& Safety, Communications \& Outreach and Volunteer Services.
5. A preliminary draft of the demographic study has been received by Portland State. This study was commissioned as a component of the Comprehensive Plan update currently underway. Edits will be completed in the next two weeks with a final report delivered to THPRD by the beginning of March.
6. March is Earthquake and Tsunami Awareness Month in Oregon and the District is preparing to conduct its annual earthquake drills. Each department will participate by practicing the Drop, Cover and Hold technique, while some departments will include the general public in educational sessions and evacuation scenarios. In the past, April has traditionally been Earthquake and Tsunami Awareness Month in Oregon. The Governor made a decision to overlap the National Tsunami Awareness Week (March 25-31) to coincide with the anniversary of the great Japan earthquake and tsunami.




# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget


Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budge

| Description | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Expended | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| Stuhr Ctr Refinish Hrdwd Floor |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  | 1,581 | Award | 1,581 | 1,581 | (81) | (81) |
| GHRC Carpet |  |  | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |  |  | 5,500 | Budget | 5,500 | 5,500 |  |  |
| GHRC Locker Room Floor Rplc |  |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |  |  | 6,536 | Award | 6,536 | 6,536 | 964 | 964 |
| Administrative Office Carpet |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 4,508 |  | Complete | 4,508 | 4,508 | 492 | 492 |
| SSC Non-skd Flrs(hll, ckr rm) |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |  |  | 22,000 | Budget | 22,000 | 22,000 |  |  |
| RSC Pook Deck Strctrr Survey |  |  | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |  | 8,500 |  | Complete | 8,500 | 8,500 |  |  |
| HSC Carpet |  |  | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 |  | 5,437 |  | Complete | 5,437 | 5,437 | (237) | (237) |
| CRA Carpet |  |  | 4,700 | 4,700 | 4,700 |  | 4,607 |  | Complete | 4,607 | 4,607 | 93 | 93 |
| AC Metal Trnstr Plate Rplcment |  |  | 12,587 | 12,587 | 12,587 |  | 13,647 |  | Complete | 13,647 | 13,647 | $(1,060)$ | $(1,060)$ |
| ssc Clssrm \& Spctr Windows |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  | 25,000 | Budget | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  |
| TC Front Doors |  |  | 13,500 | 13,500 | 13,500 |  | 7,028 | 1,980 | Award | 9,008 | 9,008 | 4,492 | 4,492 |
| CHRC Windows |  |  | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |  | Complete | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  |
| RSC Outsd Doors (Ickr \& storg) |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  |  | 4,500 | Budget | 4,500 | 4,500 |  |  |
| Aq Ctr NW Corner Door |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 2,800 |  | Complete | 2,800 | 2,800 | 700 | 70 |
| Aq Ctr Front Door Hinges |  |  | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |  | 2,600 |  | Complete | 2,600 | 2,600 |  |  |
| GHRC Exterior Boiler Rm Doors |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 4,867 |  | Complete | 4,867 | 4,867 | 133 | 133 |
| CRA Locker Rm Doors |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |  | 9,586 |  | Complete | 9,586 | 9,586 | 414 | 414 |
| CRA Chlorine Rm Door |  |  | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 |  | 998 | 1,922 | Budget | 2,920 | 2,920 |  |  |
| Aquatic Pumps \& Valves (8) |  |  | 55,950 | 55,950 | 55,950 |  | 44,881 |  | Complete | 44,881 | 44,881 | 11,069 | 11,069 |
| SSC Recharge Pool Filter |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 |  |  | 5,876 | Award | 5,876 | 5,876 | 624 | 624 |
| RSC Soda Ash Tank Relocate |  |  | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 |  |  | 4,090 | Award | 4,090 | 4,090 | 110 | 110 |
| CRA Filter Media |  |  | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |  | 12,479 |  | Complete | 12,479 | 12,479 | (479) | (479) |
| Aquatic Pnmatic \& HVAC valves |  |  | 8,400 | 8,400 | 8,400 |  | 6,225 | 2,997 | Award | 9,222 | 9,222 | (822) | (822) |
| Aquatic Diving Boards \& Stands |  |  | 15,900 | 15,900 | 15,900 |  | 10,729 | 3,570 | Award | 14,299 | 14,299 | 1,601 | 1,601 |
| SSW Chlorine Tank Scale |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  | 1,595 |  | Complete | 1,595 | 1,595 | 405 | 405 |
| CRA Slide Steps |  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 |  | 11,100 |  | Complete | 11,100 | 11,100 | (600) | (600) |
| HSC Lockers |  |  | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 |  | 22,922 | 2,100 | Award | 25,022 | 25,022 | 5,978 4150 | 5,978 4,150 |
| TC Back Drop Court Curtains AC Dishwasher (Concession) |  |  | 15,000 4,400 | 15,000 4,400 | 15,000 4,400 |  | 10,850 3,058 3 | - | Complete Complete | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 10,850 } \\ 3,058 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10,850 \\ 3,058 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,150 1,342 | 4,150 1,342 |
| S Fields Concession Dishwasher |  |  | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 |  | 3,058 |  | Complete | 3,058 | 3,058 | 1,342 | 1,342 |
| Jenkins Main Hs Dishwasher |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |  | 331 | 7,816 | Award | 8,147 | 8,147 | (147) | (147) |
| CRA Gym Divider Curtain |  |  | 11,800 | 11,800 | 11,800 |  | 7,230 |  | Complete | 7,230 | 7,230 | 4,570 | 4,570 |
| Stuhr Light Extrs (dining rm) |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 2,500 | Budget | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  |
| Jenkins Main Hs Interior Paint |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |  | 5,500 | 17,150 | Award | 22,650 | 22,650 | (650) | (650) |
| GHRC Exterior Siding |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| AC Wall Sealing |  |  | 6,800 | 6,800 | 6,800 |  | 7,095 |  | Complete | 7,095 | 7,095 | (295) | (295) |
| AC Add/Connect Downspouts |  |  | 25,500 | 25,500 | 25,500 |  | 17,229 | 8,271 | Budget | 25,500 | 25,500 |  |  |
| AC Reseal Skylights |  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 |  | 12,160 | 1,075 | Award | 13,235 | 13,235 | $(2,735)$ | $(2,735)$ |
| Bldng Exterior Paint (6 sites) |  |  | 23,850 | 23,850 | 23,850 |  |  | 23,850 | Budget | 23,850 | 23,850 |  |  |
| GH \& CH Circuit Panels |  |  | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  | 25,000 | Budget | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  |
| HSC Roof Exhaust Fans |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  | 2,000 | Budget | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  |
| GHRC Steam Heat Coils (8) GHRC Gas Pak |  |  | 28,800 | 28,800 | 28,800 |  |  | 28,800 | Budget | 28,800 | 28,800 |  |  |
| GHRC Gas Pak <br> GHRC Air Handler South Wing |  |  | 33,500 | 33,500 | 33,500 |  |  | 33,500 | Budget | 33,500 | 33,500 | - |  |
| GHRC Air Handler South Wing TC Air Condensing Unit |  |  | 2,000 8,000 | 2,000 8,000 | 2,000 8,000 |  | 6,985 | 2,000 | Budget Complete | 2,000 6,985 | 2,000 6,985 | 1,015 | 1,015 |
| CRA Condensing Unit |  |  | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 |  | 84,557 | 211 | Award | 84,768 | 84,768 | 232 | 232 |
| Dryland HVAC Upgrade |  |  | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |  |  | 12,000 | Budget | 12,000 | 12,000 |  |  |
| STR DDC HVAC ZT Controller |  |  | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,300 |  | 780 | 2,420 | Award | 3,200 | 3,200 | 100 | 100 |
| GHRC Unit Heater (Showers) |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  | 3,500 | Budget | 3,500 | 3,500 |  |  |
| CRA Floor Drain \& Pipes |  |  | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |  | 8,383 |  | Complete | 8,383 | 8,383 | 117 | 117 |
| SSC Domestic Holding Tank |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |  | 21,865 |  | Complete | 21,865 | 21,865 | 135 | 135 |
| GHRC Shower Stalls |  |  | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 |  | - | 18,500 | Budget | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  |
| CHRC Water Heaters |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 3,260 | Award | 3,260 | 3,260 | (760) | (760) |
| Aq Ctr Mchncl Rm Replmb P-Trap |  |  | 2,250 2 | 2,250 | 2,250 2 |  | 2,229 1,840 |  | Complete | 2,229 1840 | 2,229 1840 | 21 | 21 760 |
| HSC Shower Valve Rplcmnt (3) GHRC Design for Showers |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,600 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,600 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | 2,600 6,000 | - | 1,840 | 6,000 | Complete <br> Budget | 1,840 6,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,840 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ | 760 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 01/31/12

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to | Basis of Estimate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative } \end{gathered}$ | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| Exercise Equipment (2) |  |  | 12,550 | 12,550 | 12,550 |  | 3,500 | 9,050 | Budget | 12,550 | 12,550 |  |  |
| AED Unit Replacements (19) |  |  | 35,369 | 35,369 | 35,369 |  | 27,540 |  | Complete | 27,540 | 27,540 | 7,829 | 7,829 |
| Metal Threshold Replacment at the Athletic Center |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,757 | 3,325 | Award | 9,082 | 9,082 | (9,082) | $(9,082)$ |
| Dryland Sound Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,915 |  | Complete | 1,915 | 1,915 | $(1,915)$ | $(1,915)$ |
| total building replacements |  |  | 1,099,676 | 1,099,676 | 1,099,676 |  | 484,058 | 564,216 |  | 1,048,274 | 1,048,274 | 51,402 | 51,402 |
| BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STR Stability Ball Racks |  |  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | 1,508 |  | Complete | 1,508 | 1,508 | (8) | (8) |
| CRA Ulitrvt Sanitation LapPool |  |  | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 |  | 22,699 |  | Complete | 22,699 | 22,699 | (199) | (199) |
| Install Drain Line Dryland/TC |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,777 | . | Complete | 9,777 | 9,777 | (9,777) | $(9,777)$ |
| TOTAL BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | - | 33,984 | . |  | 33,984 | 33,984 | $(9,984)$ | (9,984) |
| ADA PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splash Aqua Lift (2) |  |  | 14,100 | 14,100 | 14,100 |  | 2,565 | 11,535 | Budget | 14,100 | 14,100 |  |  |
| ADA Transition Ramps - CHRC |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |  |  | 5,650 | Award | 5,650 | 5,650 | $(3,150)$ | $(3,150)$ |
| ADA Drinking Fntns - GHRC |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  |
| ADA Shower Stalls - HSC |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 |  | 18,532 |  | Complete | 18,532 | 18,532 | 7,468 | 7,468 |
| total ada projects |  |  | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | - | 21,097 | 19,585 |  | 40,682 | 40,682 | 4,318 | 4,318 |
| total capital outlay division | 5,177,000 | 3,294,031 | 1,889,276 | 7,066,276 | 5,183,307 | 1,713,188 | 3,263,274 | 1,606,121 |  | 6,582,583 | 4,869,395 | 483,693 | 313,912 |

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget

| Through 01/31/12 | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended } \\ & \text { Year-to-Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated Cost to } \\ \text { Complete } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | ( $4+5+6$ ) | (5+6) |  |  |
| Information services department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System/Workstn Replcmnt |  |  | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 | - | 28,038 | 36,962 | Budget | 65,000 | 65,000 | - |  |
| Server Replacements |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |  | 25,289 | 9,711 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  |
| LAN/WAN Replcmnt |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | 45,850 |  | Complete | 45,850 | 45,850 | $(5,850)$ | (5,85) |
| Printers/Network Printers |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |  | 1,726 | 3,274 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Telephones |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 20,544 |  | Complete | 20,544 | 20,544 | (544) | (544) |
| total information technology replacements |  |  | 165,000 | 165,000 | 165,000 | - | 121,447 | 49,947 |  | 171,394 | 171,394 | $(6,394)$ | $(6,394)$ |
| Misc. Application Software |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |  | 10,836 | 9,164 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Fiber Line Installation |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | 36,041 |  | Complete | 36,041 | 36,041 | 3,959 | 3,959 |
| Applicant Tracking Software Tool |  |  | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 |  | 8,000 |  | Complete | 8,000 | 8,000 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Backup Sever @ 112th Maintenance Facility |  |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | . |  | 10,000 | Budget | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL InFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 85,500 | 85,500 | 85,500 |  | 54,877 | 19,164 |  | 74,041 | 74,041 | 11,459 | 11,459 |
| total information systems department | . |  | 250,500 | 250,500 | 250,500 | . | 176,324 | 69,111 |  | 245,435 | 245,435 | 5,065 | 5,065 |
| maintenance department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BUILDING EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autoscrubber (2) |  |  | 18,100 | 18,100 | 18,100 |  | 22,403 |  | Complete | 22,403 | 22,403 | $(4,303)$ | $(4,303)$ |
| Autoscrubber Batteries |  |  | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | 1,857 |  | Complete | 1,857 | 1,857 | 643 | 643 |
| Robotic Pool Tank Cleaner |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 |  | 4,890 |  | Complete | 4,890 | 4,890 | 1,610 | 1,610 |
| Floor Buffer (2) |  |  | 3,568 | 3,568 | 3,568 |  | 3,039 |  | Complete | 3,039 | 3,039 | 529 | 529 |
| Slow Speed Scrubber (3) |  |  | 5,918 | 5,918 | 5,918 |  | 1,917 |  | Complete | 1,917 | 1,917 | 4,001 | 4,001 |
| Carpet Extractor |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 2,760 | - | Complete | 2,760 | 2,760 | 740 | 740 |
| Cleaning Equipment |  |  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | - | 1,062 | - | Complete | 1,062 | 1,062 | (62) | ${ }^{(62)}$ |
| Wet Floor Vacuum |  |  | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | - | 662 | - | Complete | 662 | 662 | 588 | 588 |
| Walk Behind Sweeper |  |  | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | - | 4,523 | - | Complete | 4,523 | 4,523 | $(1,323)$ | $(1,323)$ |
| Product Storage Bin |  |  | 1,650 | 1,650 | 1,650 | . |  | 1,650 | Budget | 1,650 | 1,650 |  |  |
| TOTAL BUILDING EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT |  |  | 47,186 | 47,186 | 47,186 | - | 43,113 | 1,650 |  | 44,763 | 44,763 | 2,423 | 2,423 |
| FLEET REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor Shed Replacement |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - | 5,318 | 21,765 | Award | 27,083 | 27,083 | 7,917 | 7,917 |
| Vehicle Hoist |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 |  | 14,220 |  | Complete | 14,220 | 14,220 | 9,780 | 9,780 |
| Soil Reliever |  |  | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | . | 23,045 |  | Complete | 23,045 | 23,045 | (545) | (545) |
| Sod Cutter |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Cargo Van (2) |  |  | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,000 | - | 40,480 | - | Complete | 40,480 | 40,480 | 1,520 | 1,520 |
| Utility Truck |  |  | 28,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 | - | 29,733 |  | Complete | 29,733 | 29,733 | $(1,733)$ | (1,733) |
| Pressure Washer |  |  | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | - |  | 7,500 | Budget | 7,500 | 7,500 |  |  |
| 12 Passenger Van |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | - | 22,698 | - | Complete | 22,698 | 22,698 | 3,302 | 3,302 |
| Quad-cab Flatbed Truck |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  | 43,354 |  | Complete | 43,354 | 43,354 | $(3,354)$ | $(3,354)$ |
| Dump Truck (2-3 YD) |  |  | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 | - | 31,273 | - | Complete | 31,273 | 31,273 | (273) | (273) |
| Infield Rake (2) |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |  | 21,861 |  | Complete | 21,861 | 21,861 | 139 | 139 |
| Electric Utility Vehicle |  |  | 9,500 | 9,500 | 9,500 | - | 8,093 | - | Complete | 8,093 | 8,093 | 1,407 | 1,407 |
| Compact Pickup |  |  | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 |  | 13,431 | - | Complete | 13,431 | 13,431 | 569 | 569 |
| 15 -Passenger Van (2) |  |  | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 |  | 45,396 |  | Complete | 45,396 | 45,396 | 6,604 | 6,604 |
| Lubrication |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - | 6,500 | Budget | 6,500 | 6,500 |  |  |
| Compressed Air Exhaust Ventilation |  |  | 7,800 13000 | 7,800 13000 | 7,800 13000 | . | - | 7,800 13000 | Budget Budget | 7,800 13000 | 7,800 13000 | $\square$ |  |
| Exhaust Ventilation total fleet replacements |  |  | 13,000 385,800 | 13,000 | 13,000 385,800 | - | 298,902 | 13,000 61,565 | Budget | 13,000 360,467 | 13,000 | 25,333 | 25,333 |
| FLEET IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forklit |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - | 29,287 | - | Complete | 29,287 | 29,287 | 5,713 | 5,713 |
| Floor Scrubber |  |  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | - | 12,424 | - | Complete | 12,424 | 12,424 | 2,576 | 2,576 |
| total fleet improvements |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | 41,711 | - |  | 41,711 | 41,711 | 8,289 | 8,289 |
| total maintenance department | - |  | 482,986 | 482,986 | 482,986 | - | 383,726 | 63,215 |  | 446,941 | 446,941 | 36,045 | 36,045 |
| GRAND TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 5,177,000 | 3,294,031 | 2,622,762 | 7,799,762 | 5,916,793 | 1,713,188 | 3,823,324 | 1,738,447 |  | 7,274,959 | 5,561,771 | 524,803 | 355,022 |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 01/31/12

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |

## SDC FUND <br> SDC FUND

Land Acquisition (FY 11)
Land Acquisition (FY 12)
Dutton Property
IMPROVEMENT/DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS Fanno Creek Trail
MTIP Grant Match for Westside Trail
Bonny Slope/BSD Trail Development
WCF Grant Match//Schiffler Park Pa
MTIP Grant Match-Fanno Creek Trail/Hall Blvd Crossing LGGP Grant Match-PCC Restroom
112 th St. Field Construction
Winkleman Park Phase I
Progress Lake Dock Modification
MTIP Grant Match-Westside Trail Segment 18
OBP Grant Match-Waterhouse Trail/Walker Rd Crossing 112th St. Site Improvements
Undesignated Projects


Total - SDC Fund

| $3,267,150$ | $2,858,705$ | $4,923,528$ | $8,190,678$ | $7,782,233$ | 733,154 | $2,504,331$ | $4,889,023$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 1/31/12

|  |  | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quad- rant | Project Code |  | Initial Project Budget Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Basis of } \\ & \text { Estimate } \\ & \text { (Completed } \\ & \text { Phase) } \end{aligned}$ | Project Cumulative Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Est. Cost } \\ & \text { (Over) Under } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2) | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| SE | 97-976 | AM Kennedy Park | 30,846 | 495 | 31,341 | 45 | 10 | 55 | 31,286 | Planning | 31,341 |  | 0.2\% |
| SE | 97-977 | Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,236 | 78,351 | 118 | 4,091 | 4,209 | 74,142 | Planning | 78,351 |  | 5.4\% |
| SE | 97-978 | Vista Brook Park | 20,564 | 330 | 20,894 |  |  |  | 20,894 | Budget | 20,894 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 97-979 | Greenway Park/Koll Center | 61,692 | 988 | 62,680 | 1,203 | 10 | 1,213 | 61,467 | Budget | 62,680 |  | 1.9\% |
| SE | 97-980 | Bauman Park | 82,256 | 1,313 | 83,569 | 7,340 | 2,667 | 10,007 | 73,562 | Planning | 83,569 |  | 12.0\% |
| SE | 97-981 | Fanno Creek Park | 162,456 | 2,605 | 165,061 | 350 | - | 350 | 164,711 | Budget | 165,061 |  | 0.2\% |
| SE | 97-982 | Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 | 29 | 3,194 | 3,223 | 38,565 | Budget | 41,788 |  | 7.7\% |
| sw | 97-983 | Murrayhill Park | 61,692 | 869 | 62,561 | 24,124 | 17,497 | 41,621 | 18,709 | Establish | 60,330 | 2,231 | 69.0\% |
| SE | 97-984 | Hyland Forest Park | 71,974 | 1,034 | 73,008 | 40,210 | $(6,471)$ | 33,739 | 24,425 | Planning | 58,164 | 14,844 | 58.0\% |
| sw | 97-985 | Cooper Mountain | 205,640 | 3,298 | 208,938 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 208,924 | Budget | 208,938 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-986 | Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 165 | 10,447 |  | 19 | 28 | 10,419 | Preparation | 10,447 |  | 0.3\% |
| sw | 97-987 | Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 4,615 | 292,511 | 2,407 | 1,047 | 3,454 | 289,057 | Budget | 292,511 |  | 1.2\% |
| sw | 97-988 | Rosa/Hazeldale Parks | 28,790 | 460 | 29,250 | 357 | 51 | 408 | 28,842 | Preparation | 29,250 |  | 1.4\% |
| sw | 97-989 | Mt Williams Park | 102,820 | 1,649 | 104,469 |  |  |  | 104,469 | Budget | 104,469 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-990 | Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 2,464 | 156,694 | 2,141 | 42,043 | 44,184 | 112,510 | Planning | 156,694 |  | 28.2\% |
| sw | 97-991 | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 155 | 10,437 | 2,248 | 4,187 | 6,435 | 1,823 | Planting | 8,258 | 2,179 | 77.9\% |
| SW | 97-992 | Morrison Woods | 61,692 | 989 | 62,681 | 28 |  | 28 | 62,653 | Budget | 62,681 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-993 | Interpretive Sign Network | 339,306 | 5,439 | 344,745 | 2,467 | 144 | 2,611 | 342,134 | Planning | 344,745 |  | 0.8\% |
| NW | 97-994 | Beaverton Creek Trail | 61,692 | 989 | 62,681 |  |  |  | 62,681 | Budget | 62,681 |  | 0.0\% |
| Nw | 97-995 | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 |  |  |  | 41,788 | Budget | 41,788 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-996 | Bluegrass Downs Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 |  |  |  | 15,670 | Budget | 15,670 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-997 | Crystal Creek | 41,128 | 660 | 41,788 |  | - |  | 41,788 | Budget | 41,788 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-914 | Restoration of new properties to be acquired | 643,023 | 10,313 | 653,336 |  |  |  | 653,336 | Budget | 653,336 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 59,943 | 3,822,844 | 137,468 | 100,519 | 237,987 | 3,551,501 |  | 3,789,488 | 33,356 | 6.3\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Preservation-Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-882 | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 134,622 | 8,534,622 | 205,845 | 39,549 | 245,394 | 8,289,228 | Budget | 8,534,622 |  | 2.9\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 134,622 | 8,534,622 | 205,845 | 39,549 | 245,394 | 8,289,228 |  | 8,534,622 |  | 2.9\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 93-918 | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 66,834 | 4,333,864 | 369,784 | 152,558 | 522,342 | 3,045,703 | Design Dev. | 3,568,045 | 765,819 | 14.6\% |
| NE | 93-920 | Jordan/Husen Park Trail | 1,645,120 | 40,036 | 1,685,156 | 225,734 | 69,636 | 295,370 | 1,068,139 | Constr. Doc | 1,363,509 | 321,647 | 21.7\% |
| NW | 93-924 | Waterhouse Trail Segments 1,5 \% West Spur | 3,804,340 | 59,194 | 3,863,534 | 416,592 | 108,908 | 525,500 | 4,707,835 | Design Dev. | 5,233,335 | $(1,369,801)$ | 10.0\% |
| NW | 93-922 | Rock Creek Trail \# 5 A Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 65,344 | 2,327,384 | 381,158 | 139,068 | 520,226 | 2,038,180 | Constr. Doc | 2,558,406 | (231,022) | 20.3\% |
| UND | 93-923 | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 1,586 | 101,586 | 3,250 | 13,811 | 17,061 | 84,525 | Budget | 101,586 |  | 16.8\% |
| NW | 91-912 | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,029 | 362,899 | 238,688 | 14 | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,197 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 91-913 | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,101 | 271,151 | 26,937 | 19,148 | 46,085 | 332,824 | Design Dev. | 378,909 | (107,758) | 12.2\% |
| sw | 93-921 | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 52,303 | 874,863 | 186,078 | 102,672 | 288,750 | 523,030 | Design Dev. | 811,780 | 63,083 | 35.6\% |
| NW | 91-911 | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 24,652 | 1,566,952 | 24,234 | 340 | 24,574 | 1,517,726 | Budget | 1,542,300 | 24,652 | 1.6\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 327,079 | 15,387,389 | 1,872,455 | 606,155 | 2,478,610 | 13,317,962 |  | 15,796,572 | (409,183) | 15.7\% |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District <br> Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report <br> Estimated Cost vs. Budget 

Through 1/31/12

|  |  | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Project Code |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate (Completed Phase) | Project Cumulative Cost | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% Total } \\ \text { Expended to } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Cumulative } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2) | (4) | (5) | (4+5)=(6) | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |

$\begin{array}{lll} & & \text { New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion } \\ & \text { UND } & \text { 98-883 }\end{array}$
New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion $\qquad$ $1,200,000$
$1,200,000$
$\frac{\text { Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development }}{\text { Winkelman Athletic Field }}$

| SW | $94-925$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| SE | $94-926$ |
| NW | $94-927$ |
| NE | $94-928$ |
| SW | $94-929$ |
| SE | $94-930$ |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SE } & 94-930 & \begin{array}{ll}\text { New Fields in SW Quadrant } \\ \text { New Fields in SE Quadrant } \\ \text { Total Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Dev. }\end{array} \\ & & \end{array}$

| UND | $96-960$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| NW | $96-720$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { NW } & 96-720 \\ \text { SW } & 96-721 \\ \text { SW } & 96\end{array}$ Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Willow Cree

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SW } & 96-722 & \text { Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate } \\ \text { SE } & 96-723 & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SE } & 96-723 & \text { Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highland } \\ \text { NE } & 96-998 & \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { UND } & \text { 96-998 } & \text { Irrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park } \\ \text { UND } & 96-999 & \text { Pedestrian Path Replacement at } 3 \text { sites }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SW } & 96-999 & \text { Pedestrian Path Replacement at } 3 \text { sites } \\ \text { SW } & \text { Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SW } & \text { 96-946 } & \text { Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center } \\ \text { NE } & 96-947 & \text { Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center }\end{array}$

|  |  | Facility Rehabilitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UND | 95-931 | Structural Upgrades at Several Facilities |
| sw | 95-932 | Structural Upgrades at Aloha Swim Center |
| SE | 95-933 | Structural Upgrades at Beaverton Swim Center |
| NE | 95-934 | Structural Upgrades at Cedar Hills Recreation Center |
| sw | 95-935 | Structural Upgrades at Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Center |
| SE | 95-937 | Structural Upgrades at Garden Home Recreation Center |
| SE | 95-938 | Structural Upgrades at Harman Swim Center |
| NW | 95-939 | Structural Upgrades at HMT/50 Mtr Pool/Aquatic Center |
| NW | 95-940 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Administration Building |
| NW | 95-941 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Athletic Center |
| NW | 95-942 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Dryland Training Center |
| NW | 95-943 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Tennis Center |
| SE | 95-944 | Structural Upgrades at Raleigh Swim Center |
| NW | 95-945 | Structural Upgrades at Somerset Swim Center |
| NE | 95-950 | Sunset Swim Center Structural Upgrades |
| NE | 95-951 | Sunset Swim Center Pool Tank |
|  |  | Total Facility Rehab |
|  |  | Facility Expansion and Improvements |
| SE | 95-952 | Elsie Stuhr Center Expansion \& Structural Improvements |
| sw | 95-953 | Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Expansion \& Splash Pad |
| sw | 95-954 | Aloha ADA Dressing Rooms |
| NW | 95-955 | Aquatics Center ADA Dressing Rooms |
|  | 95-9 | Athletic Center HVAC Upgrades |


| 514,100 | 33,199 | 547,299 | 51,001 | 66,349 | 117,350 | 1,156,793 | Design Dev | 1,274,143 | (726,844) | 9.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 514,100 | 6,637 | 520,737 | 405,527 | 1,269 | 406,796 |  | Complete | 406,796 | 113,941 | 100.0\% |
| 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 | 75 |  | 75 | 522,270 | Budget | 522,345 |  | 0.0\% |
| 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 | 932 | 898 | 1,830 | 520,515 | Budget | 522,345 | - | 0.4\% |
| 514,100 | 8,241 | 522,341 | 669 | - | 669 | 521,672 | Budget | 522,341 | - | 0.1\% |
| 514,100 | 8,245 | 522,345 | - | - | - | 522,345 | Budget | 522,345 | - | 0.0\% |
| 3,084,600 | 72,812 | 3,157,412 | 458,204 | 68,516 | 526,720 | 3,243,595 |  | 3,770,315 | (612,903) | 14.0\% |


| 810,223 | 4,065 | 814,288 | 665,070 | 49,379 | 714,449 | 32,756 | various phases | 747,205 | 67,083 | 95.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96,661 | 1,551 | 98,212 | 80,524 | 46,753 | 127,277 |  | Complete | 127,277 | $(29,065)$ | 100.0\% |
| 38,909 | 624 | 39,533 | 38,381 |  | 38,381 |  | Complete | 38,381 | 1,152 | 100.0\% |
| 7,586 | 33 | 7,619 | 28,430 | - | 28,430 |  | Complete | 28,430 | $(20,811)$ | 100.0\% |
| 10,767 | 170 | 10,937 | 985 | - | 985 | - | Cancelled | 985 | 9,952 | 100.0\% |
| 48,854 | 63 | 48,917 | 41,902 | - | 41,902 | - | Complete | 41,902 | 7,015 | 100.0\% |
| 116,687 | 150 | 116,837 | 118,040 | - | 118,040 |  | Complete | 118,040 | $(1,203)$ | 100.0\% |
| 160,914 | 1,508 | 162,422 | 195,024 | - | 195,024 |  | Complete | 195,024 | $(32,602)$ | 100.0\% |
| 160,914 | 2,581 | 163,495 |  | 8,899 | 8,899 | 324,310 | Design Dev | 333,209 | $(169,714)$ | 2.7\% |


| ments | 1,451,515 | 10,745 | 1,462,260 | 1,168,356 | 105,031 | 1,273,387 | 357,066 |  | 1,630,453 | $(168,193)$ | 78.1\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 317,950 | 2,378 | 320,328 | 105,332 | - | 105,332 | 214,996 | Budget | 320,328 | - | 32.9\% |
|  | 406,279 | 6,360 | 412,639 | 20,429 | 592 | 21,021 | 391,618 | Const. Doc. | 412,639 |  | 5.1\% |
|  | 1,447,363 | 23,161 | 1,470,524 | 22,757 |  | 22,757 | 1,447,767 | Const. Doc. | 1,470,524 |  | 1.5\% |
|  | 628,087 | 10,073 | 638,160 | - | 7,911 | 7,911 | 630,249 | Const. Doc. | 638,160 | - | 1.2\% |
|  | 44,810 | 719 | 45,529 | - | 8,284 | 8,284 | 37,245 | Const. Doc. | 45,529 | - | 18.2\% |
|  | 486,935 | 7,810 | 494,745 | - |  |  | 494,745 | Master Plan | 494,745 |  | 0.0\% |
|  | 179,987 | 2,821 | 182,808 | 19,298 | 22,000 | 41,298 | 70,089 | Bid Award | 111,387 | 71,421 | 37.1\% |
|  | 312,176 | 4,762 | 316,938 | 66,373 | 194,762 | 261,135 |  | Complete | 261,135 | 55,803 | 100.0\% |
|  | 397,315 | 6,178 | 403,493 | 39,750 | 311,565 | 351,315 | - | Complete | 351,315 | 52,178 | 100.0\% |
|  | 65,721 | 85 | 65,806 | 66,000 | - | 66,000 | - | Complete | 66,000 | (194) | 100.0\% |
|  | 116,506 | 1,840 | 118,346 | 19,692 | 60,815 | 80,507 | - | Complete | 80,507 | 37,839 | 100.0\% |
|  | 268,860 | 4,290 | 273,150 | 14,382 | - | 14,382 | 142,367 | Bid Award | 156,749 | 116,401 | 9.2\% |
|  | 4,481 | 6 | 4,487 | 5,703 |  | 5,703 | - | Complete | 5,703 | $(1,216)$ | 100.0\% |
|  | 8,962 | 12 | 8,974 | 9,333 |  | 9,333 |  | Complete | 9,333 | (359) | 100.0\% |
|  | 1,028,200 | 16,406 | 1,044,606 | 17,303 | 48,203 | 65,506 | 633,150 | Const. Doc. | 698,656 | 345,950 | 9.4\% |
|  | 514,100 | 275 | 514,375 | 294,280 |  | 294,280 | . | Complete | 294,280 | 220,095 | 100.0\% |
|  | 6,227,732 | ,176 | ,314.908 | 700,632 | 54, | 354.764 | 6 |  | .416.990 | 897.918 | 25.0\% |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 1/31/12

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}$ | Project Code | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 11/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expended Prior } \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \end{gathered}$ | Project Cumulative Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Est. Cost } \\ & \text { (Over) Under } \\ & \text { Budget } \end{aligned}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
| Total Facility Expansion and Improvements |  |  | (1) | (2) | (1+2) | (4) | ${ }_{3,247,814}$ | $(4+5)=(6)$ <br> $5,218,648$ |  |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | $\xrightarrow{(3-9)}$ | (6)/(9) |
|  |  |  | 8,218,478 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (67) 8,090,283 |  | 64.5\% |
| ADA/Access Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW | 95-957 | HMT ADA Parking \& other site improvement | 735,163 | 11,595 | 746,758 | 13,753 | - | 13,753 | 733,005 | Budget | 746,758 |  | 1.8\% |
| UND | 95-958 | ADA Improvements - undesignated funds | 116,184 | 1,864 | 118,048 | 3,533 | 19,077 | 22,610 | 95,438 | Budget | 118,048 |  | 19.2\% |
| sw | 95-730 | ADA Improvements - Barrows Park | 8,227 | 132 | 8,359 |  | 6,825 | 6,825 |  | Complete | 6,825 | 1,534 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-731 | ADA Improvements - Bethany Lake Park | 20,564 | 193 | 20,757 | 25,566 | - | 25,566 | - | Complete | 25,566 | $(4,809)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-732 | ADA Improvements - Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 8,226 | 132 | 8,358 | - | 8,255 | 8,255 | - | Complete | 8,255 | 103 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-733 | ADA Improvements - Forest Hills Park | 12,338 | 198 | 12,536 | - | 23,416 | 23,416 | - | Complete | 23,416 | $(10,880)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-734 | ADA Improvements - Greenway Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 | - |  |  |  | Cancelled |  | 15,670 | 0.0\% |
| sw | 95-735 | ADA Improvements - Jenkins Estate | 16,450 | 264 | 16,714 | - | 11,550 | 11,550 | - | Complete | 11,550 | 5,164 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 95-736 | ADA Improvements - Lawndale Park | 30,846 | 40 | 30,886 | 16,626 |  | 16,626 | - | Complete | 16,626 | 14,260 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-737 | ADA Improvements - Lost Park | 15,423 | 247 | 15,670 | - | 15,000 | 15,000 | - | Complete | 15,000 | 670 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-738 | ADA Improvements - Rock Creek Powerline Park (Soccer Fld) | 20,564 | 330 | 20,894 | . | 17,799 | 17,799 | - | Complete | 17,799 | 3,095 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-739 | ADA Improvements - Skyview Park | 5,140 | 82 | 5,222 | - | 7,075 | 7,075 |  | Complete | 7,075 | $(1,853)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-740 | ADA Improvements - Waterhouse Powerline Park | 8,226 | 132 | 8,358 | - |  |  | 8,358 | Const. Doc | 8,358 |  | 0.0\% |
| NE | 95-741 | ADA Improvements - West Sylvan Park | 5,140 | 82 | 5,222 | - | 5,102 | 5,102 | . | Complete | 5,102 | 120 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-742 | ADA Improvements - Wonderland Park | 10,282 | 164 | 10,446 |  | 4,915 | 4,915 | - | Complete | 4,915 | 5,531 | 100.0\% |
|  | Total ADA/Access Improvements |  | 1,028,196 | 15,702 | 1,043,898 | 59,478 | 119,013 | 178,491 | 836,801 |  | 1,015,292 | 28,606 | 17.6\% |
| UND | Community Center Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98-884 | Community Center Total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | 79,695 | 5,079,695 | 589,963 | 12,693 | 602,656 | 4,477,039 | Budget | 5,079,695 |  | 11.9\% |
|  |  |  | 5,000,000 | 79,695 | 5,079,695 | 589,963 | 12,693 | 602,656 | 4,477,039 |  | 5,079,695 |  | 11.9\% |
|  |  | Bond Administration Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | Debt Issuance Costs | 1,393,000 | $(482,200)$ | 910,800 | 24,772 | - | 24,772 | - | Budget | 24,772 | 886,028 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Bond Accountant Personnel Costs |  | 241,090 | 241,090 |  | 13,364 | 13,364 | 241,090 | Budget | 254,454 | $(13,364)$ | 5.3\% |
| UND |  | Communications Support | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | - |  |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND |  | Technology Needs | 18,330 | - | 18,330 | 21,520 | 2,434 | 23,954 | - | Complete | 23,954 | $(5,624)$ | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Office Furniture | 7,150 |  | 7,150 | 3,940 | 1,099 | 5,039 | - | Complete | 5,039 | 2,111 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Admin/Consultant Costs | 31,520 | - | 31,520 | 35,098 | 4,477 | 39,575 | - | Budget | 39,575 | $(8,055)$ | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  | 1,450,000 | (191,110) | 1,258,890 | 85,330 | 21,374 | 106,704 | 291,090 |  | 397,794 | 861,096 | 26.8\% |
|  |  | Grand Total | 100,000,000 | 1,511,224 | 101,511,224 | 20,782,236 | 7,328,801 | 28,111,037 | 72,168,350 |  | 100,279,388 | 1,231,836 | 28.0\% |



## MEMORANDUM

Date: February 10, 2012
To: Board of Directors
From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities
Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for December, 2011

Below please find the various categories for System Development Charges, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family, Manufactured Housing Unit, and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the $1.6 \%$ handling fee for collections through December, 2011.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | ---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 5,551.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 5,462.18$ |
| Multi-Family | $\$ 4,151.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 4,084.58$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 144.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 141.70$ |


| City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2,487 | Single Family Units |
| 15 | Single Family Units at $\$ 489.09$ |
| 1,399 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family credits |
| $\underline{\mathbf{4 0 3}}$ | Non-residential |
| $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{1 0 4}}}$ |  |

Washington County Collection of SDCs
6,458 Single Family Units
-300 Less Credits
1,855 Multi-family Units
-24 Less Credits
97 Non-residential
$\underline{\underline{8,086}}$

Recap by Agency
4,104 City of Beaverton
8,086 Washington County
12,190

Percent
30.35\%
69.65\%
100.00\%

## Receipts

\$6,147,778.89
\$7,336.35
\$2,624,822.68
(\$7,957.55)
\$468,889.39
\$9,240,869.76

| Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 182,718.92$ |
| $\$ 221.45$ | $\$ 6,330,497.81$ |
| $\$ 7,557.80$ |  |
| $\$ 80,892.66$ | $\$ 2,705,715.34$ |
| $(\$ 229.36)$ | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |
| $\$ 14,102.03$ | $\$ 482,991.42$ |
| $\$ \underline{\underline{277,705.70}}$ | $\underline{\underline{9,518,575.46}}$ |


| Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$480,030.30 | \$18,147,511.66 |
| (\$19,285.02) | (\$642,834.00) |
| \$115,397.74 | \$4,019,202.81 |
| (\$1,463.61) | (\$48,786.85) |
| \$7,694.16 | \$368,460.65 |
| \$582,373.57 | \$21,843,554.27 |

## Receipts <br> \$17,667,481.36 <br> (\$623,548.98) <br> \$3,903,805.07 <br> (\$47,323.24) <br> \$360,766.49 <br> \$21,261,180.70

## Receipts

\$9,240,869.76
\$21,261,180.70
\$30,502,050.46
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { Collection Fee } & \text { Total Revenue } \\ & \$ 9,518,575.46 \\ \$ 582,373.57 & \$ 21,843,554.27 \\ \$ \underline{\underline{860,079.27}} & \$ \underline{\underline{31,362,129.73}}\end{array}$

| Recap by Dwelling | Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Resident | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Beaverton | 2,502 | 1,399 | 203 | 4,104 |
| Washington County | 6,158 | 1,831 | $\underline{97}$ | 8,086 |
|  | 8,660 | $\underline{\underline{3,230}}$ | 300 | $\underline{\underline{12,190}}$ |
| Total Receipts to Dat |  |  | \$31,816,913.58 |  |
| Total Payments to D |  |  |  |  |
| Refunds |  | (\$2,060,859.71) |  |  |
| Administrative C |  | (\$18.63) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- |  | (\$19,262,269.14) |  |  |
| Project Costs -- | ition | (\$9,051,250.06) | $(\$ 30,374,397.54)$ |  |
|  |  |  | \$1,442,516.04 |  |
| Recap by Month, FY 2011-12 | Receipts | Expenditures | Interest | SDC Fund Total |
| through June 2011(1) | \$30,964,268.13 | (\$28,053,224.90) | \$2,004,086.02 | \$4,915,129.25 |
| July | \$176,269.70 | (\$139,118.26) | \$1,501.69 | \$38,653.13 |
| August | \$208,225.67 | (\$5,615.44) | \$1,537.62 | \$204,147.85 |
| September | \$99,547.28 | (\$453,804.43) | \$12,743.51 | (\$341,513.64) |
| October | \$148,863.65 | (\$856,509.47) | \$1,412.24 | (\$706,233.58) |
| November | \$105,033.37 | (\$378,103.20) | \$1,116.92 | (\$271,952.91) |
| December | \$114,705.78 | (\$488,021.84) | \$1,171.70 | (\$372,144.36) |
| January | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| February | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| March | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| April | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| May | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| June | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | \$31,816,913.58 | (\$30,374,397.54) | \$2,023,569.70 | \$3,466,085.74 |

(1) Net of $\$ 1,029,273$ of SDC Credits awarded for park development projects.

Recap by Month, by Unit
through June, 2011
July
August
September
October
November
December
January
February
March
April
May
June

| Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3,510 | 292 | 12,025 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 34 | 5 | 3 | 42 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 26 | 2 | 1 | 29 |
| 19 | 0 | 4 | 23 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathbf{3 , 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 9 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 , 6 6 0}$ |  |  |  |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2011 per the budget was $\$ 4,894,176$. Actual balance was $\$ 4,915,129$. This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 2,850,057$.

- HARMAN HON-

ORED: Southridge
High swim coach Rod Harman was honored Sunday as winner of the Game Changer
Award at the annual Oregon Sports
Awards. Harman, 84,
has coached for near-
ly 60 years, and began coaching at
Beaverton High School in 1959. His swim teams


HARMAN
have claimed eight state championships and 10 runner-up trophies, and he also led the Beaverton boys water polo teams to two state titles in the mid-1970s. The National Federation of High School Sports honored Harman as Coach of the Year.

## Fanno Creek Trail crossing open house set

The Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District invites neighbors and other interested citizens to discuss options for the Fanno Creek Trail crossing at an open house on Thursday, March 1, from 6 to 8 p.m. in the Elsie Stuhr Center's Fir Room, 5550 S.W. Hall Blvd.

Participants can share ideas about how best to provide a safe crossing for pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists at the trail's intersection with Hall Boulevard.

The forum will outline the project's purpose and the two options - bridge and underpass - still under consideration.Project staff will present an overview at 6:30 p.m. and will be available to answer questions and discuss citizens' concerns and ideas.

The project team will use public input from the open house to determine the preferred option, which the City Council and the district's Board of Directors will consider this spring.

The park district is leading the project, which is made possible through federal funds through the Oregon Department of Transportation and Metro regional government. The city of Beaverton is also actively participating.

For more information, visit fannocrossinghall.org.

## METRO LEAGUE DISTRICT SWIMMING I TUALATIN HILLS AQUATIC CENTER Qunnise for Osunset



MILES VANCE/The Times
TO VICTORY - Sunset junior Cole Hurwitz powers to victory in the 200 -yard individual medley during Saturday's Metro League District Swim Championships at Tualatin Hills Aquatic Center.

## NEWS BRIEFS

Fanno Creek Trail crossing open house set
The Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District invites neighbors and other interested citizens to discuss options for the Fanno Creek Trail crossing at an open house on Thursday, March 1, from 6 to 8 p.m. in the Elsie Stuhr Center's Fir Room, 5550 S.W. Hall Blvd.

Participants can share ideas about how best to provide a safe crossing for pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists at the trail's intersection with Hall Boulevard. The forum will outline the project's purpose and the two options - bridge and underpass - still under consideration.Project staff will present an overview at $6: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and will be available to answer questions and discuss citizens' concerns and ideas.

The project team will use public input from the open house to determine the preferred option, which the City Council and the district's Board of Directors will consider this spring. The park district is leading the project, which is made possible through federal funds through the Oregon Department of Transportation and Metro regional government. The city of Beaverton is also actively participating.

For more information, visit fannocrossinghall.org.

## - Southridge student helps park rangers count frog-egg clusters



# MASS <br> APPEAL 

By SHANNON O. WELLS Of Times Newspapers

M
adalyn Boultinghouse is petite, polite and perhaps a bit more articulate and cerebral than your average 14 -year-old girl.

So it jars a bit when she instructs a male schoolmate to step lightly through the shallow, frog egg-strewn pond she's surveying at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park early Saturday afternoon.
"Do not step on them, or I will bend your arm back until you yell," she says calmly, evenly, looking her friend Garrett Montgomery directly in the eyes.

While physical violence is likely a rare last resort for the soft-spoken Southridge High

School freshman, Boultinghouse clearly takes seriously her love and appreciation of nature, red-legged frog-egg masses included.

That passion - and her meticulous approach to counting the masses as a Tualatit Hills Park and Recreation District intern suits park Rangers Kyle Spinks and Sarał Skelly just fine.
They're in the middle of an annual eggmass survey of park district ponds and wetlands. The more red-legged frog-egg masses - which the Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife list as "sensitive-vulnerable" - they come across in mid winter, the healthier the district's ecosystems likely are.

- See FROGS, A8


ECOSYSTEM INDICATOR - The emergence of tadpoles on a daily basis this winter at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park is a welcome sign the red-legged frog population is thriving. Park rangers count egg-masses of the species annually to monitor the health of the park's pond and wetland ecosystems.

FIELD WORK - Madalyn Boultinghouse, above, diligently counts red-legged frog eggs.

# Frogs: Student volunteer tracks indicator species in park <br> always in creeks, collecting 

## - Continued from A1

Water quality, air quality and native vs. invasive plant life are among the measures used to determine the relative health of wetlands and surrounding habitats.

## Interconnectedness

"Red-legged frogs are an indicator species, on the same order of what a bald eagle or peregrine falcon indicate of things happening in an ecosystem," Spinks says. "They are pretty affected and pretty noticeable" when things fall out of balance. "We select a group of ponds every year. We see if anything's there, then we check different locations to get an idea of what's happening. It informs our decision on what we want to do with the ecosystem."

Actions may include new plantings in some places or removing weed species in others.
"If we do something to help frogs, we're more likely helping a wide range of animals at the same time," Spinks says.

Variables that can affect the proliferation of egg masses and determine the ecosystem's life-supporting ability include seasonal weather patterns and variations, stormwater and downspout runoff, manmade contaminants and encroaching development.
"Red-legged frogs breed in ponds that typically dry up," Spinks says. "Because they're seasonal, they're very susceptible to droughty conditions. There's storm-water runoff Many of the ponds are collecting sites for downspouts."


Wading, the hardest part
With Spinks leading the charge, he, Skelly and Boultinghouse are counting and recording the number and location of the egg masses -yellowish-green jelly-like masses interspersed with small round bumps and attached to reeds just below the water surface.

As far as computer technology has come, there is no digital application for this particular task.
The counting entails stepping into chest-high rubber waders and heading out into swamps and ponds. Taking care not to disturb or damage
the egg masses, the surveyors tread ever so gently, moving in a zigzag pattern to avoid redundant counting. They pause occasionally to examine or lightly touch the bedding for what will become the tadpoles of tomorrow.
"You move slowly," Skelly says, "and try to get a fairly accurate count."
"We're physically counting the egg masses," adds Spinks, describing a typical mass as grapefruit-cantaloupe sized and containing " 600 to 900 eggs in a jelly bowl. They attach to vegetation coming up through the water column. They don't flow around."

Like many outdoor activities, egg-mass counting is best on clear, calm days.
"It helps when there's good sun," Spinks says. "If it's raining or real windy, riffling the (water) surface, it's not a good day."
In between rounds of wending through the pond with her friend Garrett, Boultinghouse, working as an unpaid intern, discusses her unequivocal love of nature.

Well, OK, she's not so crazy about those invasive, domineering bullfrogs.
"I can't really have sympathy for them," she says, then reversing herself. "You either
love all nature or you don't." Like most human beings, Boultinghouse is not without conflict in her relationship with nature and survival of the fittest.
"Bullfrogs eat ducklings, anything they can get their mouth over," she says. "Why not help animals by getting rid of some that shouldn't be here?"

## Going with the flow

The Beaverton native got her first taste of wildlife while visiting a 12 -acre farm her grandfather owned in rural Oregon.
"When I was young, I was
salamanders," she recalls. "It was just something I did in the summer."

Boultinghouse has applied for a 2012 Youth Conserve Energy Grant, an opportunity she discovered through the Planet Connect Organization website.

Meanwhile, looking for more biology field experience than her school could offer, she thought of the park district and wondered what its programs might offer.
"I got this crazy idea to see what I could do at the nature park," she says.

Calling Boultinghouse a "very passionate and motivated teen," Skelly feels lucky to have her on board.
"It's great to be able to have this opportunity. To have her enthusiasm and time commitment - it really captures what are goals are," she says. "Madalyn is just a really exceptional volunteer. She's tâkeñ this opportunity and run with it."

In addition to her role in the annual egg-mass count, Boultinghouse is helping Skelly with a tadpole-ponds project funded through the $\$ 100$ million park district bond measure passed in 2008. The ranger hopes to determine why the tadpole-survival rate is low compared to the proliferation of red-legged frog masses.

The hope is by improving these crucial breeding areas, we will begin to see increasing numbers of egg masses in all ponds.

Boultinghouse says she didn't need to be asked twice. "Now I know what I'm doing during spring break."

## Southridge student seeks grant to help teens gain field-biology experience

By SHANNON O. WELLS OfTimes Newspapers

As a parent of a 14 -yearIold, Lydia Boultinghouse faces a problem those with rowdier charges might envy. Her daughter, Madalyn, loves frogs. And reptiles. And the natural world in all its untidy splendor.

Admittedly, things could be much worse. It's just that Lydia, a former flight attendant, and her husband, Dan, a civil engineer, sometimes feel their efforts to accommodate Madalyn's passions and scientific curiosities are inadequate.

The mother of two credits her father's pond- and creekequipped 12 -acre farm with nurturing Madalyn's already inherent attraction to the reptilian world.
"I think you're born with it," Lydia Boultinghouse admits. "My kid loves newts and salamanders. I'm not a slimy person. What do I do with a slimy kid?"

Fortunately, an internship program at the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District provides Madalyn with fieldlearning opportunities that aren't as plentiful at Southridge High School, where she is a freshman.

So Madalyn devotes a couple hours on Saturdays to help park district Rangers Kyle Spinks and Sarah Skelly count red-legged frog-egg masses at the Tualatin Hills Nature Center and other district wetlands. The task is part of an annual mid-winter survey to determine the overal health of ecosystems (see accompanying story).

She also helps Skelly with a more complex, bond-measure funded study focused on the disparity between egg masses and the number of tadpoles they produce. Part of the solution involves removal of the invasive - and aggressive - bullfrog species.

To further the mission and encourage other teens to join in, Madalyn applied for the " 2012 Youth Conservation Engagement Grant" through the Planet Connect organization with the goal of purchasing chest-waders to keep fellow swamp-dwelling volunteers dry.

Each of the 20 teens chosen to receive the grant will receive $\$ 500$ up front, followed by a week at a conservation summer camp and another $\$ 500$ stipend.

New chest waders cost
approximately $\$ 100$ for youth sizes, and up to $\$ 130$ or more for adult sizes, Lydia Boultinghouse says.
"Depending on how many chest waders (Madalyn) can buy with $\$ 500$, that's how many teens will be trained to
identify the various types of frog eggs."

While some of the volunteer tasks, such as scooping gelatinous masses of bullfrog eggs with a bucket and offering them for hawks to consume, may be less appealing,

Boultinghouse is confident her daughter's enthusiasm will bring more of her peers on board.
"I hope Madalyn can find some hardy teens to help her with this part in the spring," she says.

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation Districł

## Online and phone registration for spring classes begins Saturday, March 3 for in-district residents



Friday, March 9 for out-of-district residents


- Gymnastics and dance
- Tennis and other sports
- Adult fitness
- Nature programs
- Preschool activities
- Classes for 55+


For all class descriptions and schedules, see our winter/spring activities guide at www.thprd.org online or pick up a printed copy at any THPRD center

## Questions? Call <br> 503/645-6433

Connecting People, Parks \& Nature


[^0]:    Agenda Item \#8 - Consent Agenda
    Larry Pelatt moved the Board of Directors approve Consent Agenda items (A) Minutes of January 9, 2012 Regular Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, (D) Resolution Appointing Natural Resources \& Trails Advisory Committees Members, (E) Resolution Authorizing Annexation of Properties in North Bethany During 2012 per ORS 198.857(2), (F) Jordan Trail Construction Contract, (G) Sunset Swim Center Seismic Upgrade Contract, and (H) Schiffler Park Construction Contract. Joe Blowers seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:

[^1]:    $\underset{\text { Ker }}{\text { Kudget }}$
    Budget Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change
    Deferred Some or all of Project has been eliminated to reduce overall capital costs for year.
    Estimate based on Contract Award amount or quote price estimates

